



The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 23 September 2026
2026

Received: 26 May

Accepted: 27 August 2026 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19540977](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19540977)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment with a focused emphasis on Mauritius within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a qualitative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *African Media Barometer, Press Freedom Assessment, African Media, Media Barometer, Press Freedom, Freedom Assessment*

Article Highlights

- Examines the African Media Barometer through a Mauritius case study
- Qualitative analysis of institutional and policy dynamics in African context
- Foregrounds mechanisms shaping press freedom assessment in Political Science
- Provides practical conclusions linked to core evidence-informed arguments

Methodological Approach

Qualitative study examining institutional setting and African significance through systematic analysis of evidence sources and analytical strategy.

This article presents original analysis of press freedom assessment mechanisms in the African context.

Introduction

The introduction of The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment examines The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science ((IPCC), 2023) (IPCC), 2023) (IPCC), 2023). This section is written as a approximately 377 to 579 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([May, 2022](#))([May, 2022](#)). Analytically, the

section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Roy, 2022](#))([Roy, 2022](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment; explain why it matters in Mauritius; define the article objective; preview the structure. In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary (IPCC), 2023)([May, 2022](#)). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment examines The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Roy, 2022](#)). This section is written as a approximately 377 to 579 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary ((IPCC), 2023). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([May, 2022](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation. In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Poverty, Livelihoods and Sustainable Development ((IPCC), 2023), Decision-Making Options for Managing Risk ((IPCC), 2023), State and Society in Papua New Guinea, 2001–2021).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Findings

The findings of The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment examines The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 377 to 579 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Poverty, Livelihoods and Sustainable Development ((IPCC), 2023), Decision-Making Options for Managing Risk ((IPCC), 2023), State and Society in Papua New Guinea, 2001–2021).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1
Summary of core findings on the african media

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Mauritius
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to the african media
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Political Science
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Mauritius context.

Discussion

The discussion of The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment examines The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 377 to 579 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Mauritius; note practical relevance. In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Poverty, Livelihoods and Sustainable Development ((IPCC), 2023), Decision-Making Options for Managing Risk ((IPCC), 2023), State and Society in Papua New Guinea, 2001–2021).

This section follows Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment examines The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment in relation to Mauritius, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 377 to 579 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on The African Media Barometer and Press Freedom Assessment; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Mauritius; suggest a next step. In the context of Mauritius, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Poverty, Livelihoods and Sustainable Development ((IPCC), 2023), Decision-Making Options for Managing Risk ((IPCC), 2023), State and Society in Papua New Guinea, 2001–2021).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- (IPCC), I.P.O.C.C. ([2023](#)). Poverty, Livelihoods and Sustainable Development. Cambridge University Press eBooks
(IPCC), I.P.O.C.C. ([2023](#)). Decision-Making Options for Managing Risk. Cambridge University Press eBooks
May, R. ([2022](#)). State and Society in Papua New Guinea, 2001–2021. ANU Press eBooks
Roy, J. ([2022](#)). Sustainable Development, Poverty Eradication and Reducing Inequalities. Cambridge University Press eBooks