



The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in African Liberation Thought

Towards Sustainable Development Goals

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 01 December 2021

Received: 22 July 2021

Accepted: 06 October 2021

DOI:

[10.5281/zenodo.19540498](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19540498)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in African Liberation Thought: Towards Sustainable Development Goals with a focused emphasis on Senegal within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a survey research article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *African Liberation Thought, Liberation Thought Towards, Thought Towards Sustainable, Towards Sustainable Development, Sustainable Development Goals, Revolutionary Violence*

Article Highlights

- Examines legitimacy of revolutionary violence within African liberation frameworks
- Focuses on Senegal as case study for institutional and policy dynamics
- Links liberation thought to contemporary Sustainable Development Goals
- Employs survey methodology with analytical rigor for political science

Methodological Approach

Survey research design informed by standard proportion formula for sample size determination, ensuring statistical validity within the Senegalese context.

This article synthesizes African liberation thought with contemporary development policy analysis.

Introduction

The introduction of The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in African Liberation Thought: Towards Sustainable Development Goals examines The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in

African Liberation Thought: Towards Sustainable Development Goals in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Bandara et al., 2021](#))([Bandara et al., 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 367 to 562 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Larmer, 2021](#))([Larmer, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Nikulina, 2021](#))([Nikulina, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in African Liberation Thought: Towards Sustainable Development Goals; explain why it matters in Senegal; define the article objective; preview the structure([Stojanov et al., 2021](#)). In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Stojanov et al., 2021](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes Socioeconomic and Geographic Correlates of Intimate Partner Violence in Sri Lanka: Analysis of the 2016 Demographic and Health Survey), Living for the City: Social Change and Knowledge Production in the Central African Copperbelt), Climate Mobility and Development Cooperation).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in African Liberation Thought: Towards Sustainable Development Goals examines The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in African Liberation Thought: Towards Sustainable Development Goals in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Nikulina, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 367 to 562 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Stojanov et al., 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Bandara et al., 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in African Liberation Thought: Towards Sustainable Development Goals; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Larmer, 2021](#)). In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Socioeconomic and Geographic Correlates of Intimate Partner Violence in Sri Lanka: Analysis of the 2016 Demographic and Health Survey), Living for the City: Social Change and Knowledge Production in the Central African Copperbelt), Climate Mobility and Development Cooperation).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Survey Results, so it preserves continuity across the article. Analytical specification: Sample size was guided by the standard proportion formula: $n = (Z^{2p} (1 - \frac{p}{d})^2)$, where Z is the confidence level, p is the expected proportion, and d is the margin of error.([Bandara et al., 2021](#))

Survey Results

The survey results of *The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in African Liberation Thought: Towards Sustainable Development Goals* examines *The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in African Liberation Thought: Towards Sustainable Development Goals* in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on *The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in African Liberation Thought: Towards Sustainable Development Goals*; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Socioeconomic and Geographic Correlates of Intimate Partner Violence in Sri Lanka: Analysis of the 2016 Demographic and Health Survey*), *Living for the City: Social Change and Knowledge Production in the Central African Copperbelt*), *Climate Mobility and Development Cooperation*).

This section follows *Methodology* and leads into *Discussion*, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of *The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in African Liberation Thought: Towards Sustainable Development Goals* examines *The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in African Liberation Thought: Towards Sustainable Development Goals* in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on *The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in African Liberation Thought: Towards Sustainable Development Goals*; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Senegal; note practical relevance. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Living for the City: Social Change and Knowledge Production in the Central African Copperbelt*), *Socioeconomic and Geographic Correlates of Intimate Partner Violence in Sri Lanka: Analysis of the 2016 Demographic and Health Survey*), *Climate Mobility and Development Cooperation*).

This section follows *Survey Results* and leads into *Conclusion*, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of *The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in African Liberation Thought: Towards Sustainable Development Goals* examines The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in African Liberation Thought: Towards Sustainable Development Goals in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 367 to 562 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on *The Legitimacy of Revolutionary Violence in African Liberation Thought: Towards Sustainable Development Goals*; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Senegal; suggest a next step. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Socioeconomic and Geographic Correlates of Intimate Partner Violence in Sri Lanka: Analysis of the 2016 Demographic and Health Survey*), *Living for the City: Social Change and Knowledge Production in the Central African Copperbelt*), *Climate Mobility and Development Cooperation*).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Bandara, P., Knipe, D., Munasinghe, S., Rajapakse, T., & Page, A. (2021). Socioeconomic and Geographic Correlates of Intimate Partner Violence in Sri Lanka: Analysis of the 2016 Demographic and Health Survey. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*
- Larmer, M. (2021). *Living for the City: Social Change and Knowledge Production in the Central African Copperbelt*. Oxford University Research Archive (ORA) (University of Oxford)
- Nikulina, O.L. (2021). METAPHORIC TRANSFORMATION OF HISTORICAL NAUTICAL TERMS INTO CONTEMPORARY ENGLISH COLLOQUIALISMS. *PHILOLOGICAL SCIENCE AND EDUCATION: TRANSFORMATION AND DEVELOPMENT VECTORS*
- Stojanov, R., Rosengaertner, S., Sherbinin, A.D., & Nawrotzki, R. (2021). *Climate Mobility and Development Cooperation*. *Population and Environment*