



Quad Diplomacy and Africa

U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 19 September 2025 **Received:** 30 June 2025

Accepted: 19 August 2025 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19544377](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19544377)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships with a focused emphasis on Central African Republic within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a action research study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Africa U S, U S Japan, S Japan Australia, Japan Australia India, Quad Diplomacy, Africa U*

Article Highlights

- Examines Quad diplomacy's strategic competition for African partnerships
- Focuses on Central African Republic as a case study in political science
- Analyzes institutional dynamics shaping African geopolitical engagement
- Provides practical conclusions for policy and scholarly application

Methodological Approach

Action research study examining Quad diplomacy through institutional analysis and African-centred synthesis.

This article offers context-specific insights into Quad diplomacy's African dimensions.

Introduction

The introduction of Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships examines Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships in relation to Central African Republic, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science (Kalantzakos et al., 2023) (Kalantzakos et al., 2023). This section is written as a approximately 338 to 518 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear

argument rather than a placeholder summary(Koga, 2024)(Koga, 2024). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Sullivan, 2023)(Sullivan, 2023).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships; explain why it matters in Central African Republic; define the article objective; preview the structure(He & Feng, 2020). In the context of Central African Republic, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(He & Feng, 2020). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships examines Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships in relation to Central African Republic, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Sullivan, 2023). This section is written as a approximately 338 to 518 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(He & Feng, 2020). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits(Kalantzakos et al., 2023).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation(Koga, 2024). In the context of Central African Republic, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Decarbonisation and Critical Materials in the Context of Fraught Geopolitics: Europe’s Distinctive Approach to a Net Zero Future), Tactical hedging as coalition-building signal: The evolution of Quad and AUKUS in the Indo-Pacific), India and order transition in the Indo-Pacific: resisting the Quad as a ‘security community’).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Action Research Cycles, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Action Research Cycles

The action research cycles of Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships examines Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships in relation to Central African Republic, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 338 to 518 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships; keep the section specific to Central African Republic; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Central African Republic, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem

rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Decarbonisation and Critical Materials in the Context of Fraught Geopolitics: Europe’s Distinctive Approach to a Net Zero Future), Tactical hedging as coalition-building signal: The evolution of Quad and AUKUS in the Indo-Pacific), India and order transition in the Indo-Pacific: resisting the Quad as a ‘security community’).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Outcomes and Reflections, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Outcomes and Reflections

The outcomes and reflections of Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships examines Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships in relation to Central African Republic, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 338 to 518 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships; keep the section specific to Central African Republic; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Central African Republic, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Decarbonisation and Critical Materials in the Context of Fraught Geopolitics: Europe’s Distinctive Approach to a Net Zero Future), Tactical hedging as coalition-building signal: The evolution of Quad and AUKUS in the Indo-Pacific), India and order transition in the Indo-Pacific: resisting the Quad as a ‘security community’).

This section follows Action Research Cycles and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships examines Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships in relation to Central African Republic, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 338 to 518 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Central African Republic; note practical relevance. In the context of Central African Republic, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Decarbonisation and Critical Materials in the Context of Fraught Geopolitics: Europe’s Distinctive Approach to a Net Zero Future), Tactical hedging as coalition-building signal: The

evolution of Quad and AUKUS in the Indo-Pacific), India and order transition in the Indo-Pacific: resisting the Quad as a ‘security community’).

This section follows Outcomes and Reflections and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships examines Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships in relation to Central African Republic, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 338 to 518 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Central African Republic; suggest a next step. In the context of Central African Republic, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Decarbonisation and Critical Materials in the Context of Fraught Geopolitics: Europe’s Distinctive Approach to a Net Zero Future), Tactical hedging as coalition-building signal: The evolution of Quad and AUKUS in the Indo-Pacific), India and order transition in the Indo-Pacific: resisting the Quad as a ‘security community’).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Kalantzakos, S., Øverland, I., & Vakulchuk, R. (2023). Decarbonisation and Critical Materials in the Context of Fraught Geopolitics: Europe’s Distinctive Approach to a Net Zero Future. *The International Spectator*
- Koga, K. (2024). Tactical hedging as coalition-building signal: The evolution of Quad and AUKUS in the Indo-Pacific. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*
- Sullivan, K. (2023). India and order transition in the Indo-Pacific: resisting the Quad as a ‘security community’. *The Pacific Review*
- He, K., & Feng, H. (2020). The institutionalization of the Indo-Pacific: problems and prospects. *International Affairs*