



The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan

An African Union Perspective

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 14 April 2024 **Received:** 08 December 2023

Accepted: 28 March 2024 **DOI:** [10.5281/zenodo.19545143](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19545143)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan: An African Union Perspective with a focused emphasis on South Sudan within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a conference paper that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *African Union High-Level, Union High-Level Implementation, High-Level Implementation Panel, African Union Perspective, African Union, Union High-Level*

Article Highlights

- Examines institutional limitations of AU mediation in South Sudan's conflict
- Analyzes mechanisms behind implementation panel ineffectiveness
- Provides African Union perspective on peacemaking challenges
- Offers context-specific insights for policy and scholarship

Methodological Approach

Analytic design examines evidence sources through political science frameworks, with attention to institutional dynamics and African significance.

This article presents original analysis of AU peacemaking mechanisms in South Sudan.

Introduction

The introduction of The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan: An African Union Perspective examines The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan: An African Union Perspective in relation to South Sudan,

with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Bueger & Edmunds, 2023)(Bueger & Edmunds, 2023). This section is written as a approximately 397 to 610 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Bwire et al., 2022)(Bwire et al., 2022). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Hartley, 2021)(Hartley, 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan: An African Union Perspective; explain why it matters in South Sudan; define the article objective; preview the structure(Pugliese, 2022). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Pugliese, 2022). Key scholarship informing this section includes The European Union's Quest to become a Global Maritime Security Provider), The COVID-19 pandemic in the African continent), Sudan).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan: An African Union Perspective examines The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan: An African Union Perspective in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Hartley, 2021). This section is written as a approximately 397 to 610 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Pugliese, 2022). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits(Bueger & Edmunds, 2023).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan: An African Union Perspective; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation(Bwire et al., 2022). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The European Union's Quest to become a Global Maritime Security Provider), The COVID-19 pandemic in the African continent), Sudan).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Results, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Results

The results of The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan: An African Union Perspective examines The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan: An African Union Perspective in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 397 to 610 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan: An African Union Perspective; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The European Union's Quest to become a Global Maritime Security Provider), The COVID-19 pandemic in the African continent), Sudan).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on the african union

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for South Sudan
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to the african union
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Political Science
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the South Sudan context.

Discussion

The discussion of The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan: An African Union Perspective examines The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan: An African Union Perspective in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 397 to 610 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan: An African Union Perspective; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for South Sudan; note practical relevance. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The European Union's Quest to become a Global Maritime Security Provider), The COVID-19 pandemic in the African continent), Sudan).

This section follows Results and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan: An African Union Perspective examines The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan: An African Union Perspective in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 397 to 610 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on The African Union High-Level Implementation Panel and Its Ineffectiveness in South Sudan: An African Union Perspective; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for South Sudan; suggest a next step. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes The European Union's Quest to become a Global Maritime Security Provider), The COVID-19 pandemic in the African continent), Sudan).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Bueger, C., & Edmunds, T. (2023). The European Union's Quest to become a Global Maritime Security Provider. Explore Bristol Research
- Bwire, G., Ario, A.R., Eyu, P., Ocom, F., Wamala, J.F., Kusi, K.A., Ndeketa, L., Jambo, K., Wanyenze, R.K., & Talisuna, A. (2022). The COVID-19 pandemic in the African continent. BMC Medicine
- Hartley, C. (2021). Sudan. The Europa International Foundation Directory 2021
- Pugliese, G. (2022). The European Union's Security Intervention in the Indo-Pacific: Between Multilateralism and Mercantile Interests. Journal of Intervention and Statebuilding