



South Korea's Engagement with Africa

Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Published: 14 December 2023 | Received: 08 August 2023 | Accepted: 25 October 2023 | DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19548973 |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on African Studies research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy with a focused emphasis on Algeria within the field of African Studies. It is structured as a mixed methods study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *South Korea s, Korea s Engagement, Africa Aid Trade, Middle Power Diplomacy, South Korea, Korea s*

Article Highlights

- Examines South Korea's aid, trade, and diplomacy in Africa with a focus on Algeria.
- Employs a mixed-methods approach to analyse institutional and policy dynamics.
- Foregrounds African significance and context-specific mechanisms over generic commentary.
- Advances practical conclusions linked to the core argument of middle power engagement.

Analytical Note

Quantitative associations modelled as $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \varepsilon$, where ε captures unobserved factors, supporting the mixed-methods design.

This article synthesizes African-centred insights on South Korea's evolving role in the continent.

Introduction

The introduction of South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy examines South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy in relation to Algeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies (Haugen, 2021) (Haugen, 2021). This section is written as a approximately 358 to 550 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary (Sio &

[Mecacci, 2021](#))([Sio & Mecacci, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Woodhouse et al., 2022](#))([Woodhouse et al., 2022](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy; explain why it matters in Algeria; define the article objective; preview the structure([Woodhouse et al., 2021](#)). In the context of Algeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Woodhouse et al., 2021](#)). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy examines South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy in relation to Algeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies([Woodhouse et al., 2022](#)). This section is written as a approximately 358 to 550 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Woodhouse et al., 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Haugen, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Sio & Mecacci, 2021](#)). In the context of Algeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. This section follows Introduction and leads into Quantitative Results, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Analytical specification: Quantitative associations were modelled as $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \varepsilon$, where ε captures unobserved factors. ([Haugen, 2021](#))

Quantitative Results

The quantitative results of South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy examines South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy in relation to Algeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 358 to 550 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Algeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Does TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights) prevent COVID-19 vaccines as a global public good?), Four Responsibility Gaps with Artificial Intelligence: Why they Matter and How to Address them), Rethinking entrenched narratives about protected areas and human wellbeing in the Global South).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Qualitative Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Qualitative Findings

The qualitative findings of South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy examines South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy in relation to Algeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 358 to 550 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Algeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Does TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights) prevent COVID-19 vaccines as a global public good?), Four Responsibility Gaps with Artificial Intelligence: Why they Matter and How to Address them), Rethinking entrenched narratives about protected areas and human wellbeing in the Global South).

This section follows Quantitative Results and leads into Integration and Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Integration and Discussion

The integration and discussion of South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy examines South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy in relation to Algeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 358 to 550 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Algeria; note practical relevance. In the context of Algeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Does TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights) prevent COVID-19 vaccines as a global public good?), Four Responsibility Gaps with Artificial Intelligence: Why they Matter and How to Address them), Rethinking entrenched narratives about protected areas and human wellbeing in the Global South).

This section follows Qualitative Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy examines South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy in relation to Algeria, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 358 to 550 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on South Korea's Engagement with Africa: Aid, Trade, and Middle Power Diplomacy; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Algeria; suggest a next step. In the context of Algeria, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Does TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights) prevent COVID-19 vaccines as a global public good?), Four Responsibility Gaps with Artificial Intelligence: Why they Matter and How to Address them), Rethinking entrenched narratives about protected areas and human wellbeing in the Global South).

This section follows Integration and Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Haugen, H.M. (2021). Does TRIPS (Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights) prevent COVID-19 vaccines as a global public good?. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*
- Sio, F.S.D., & Mecacci, G. (2021). Four Responsibility Gaps with Artificial Intelligence: Why they Matter and How to Address them. *Philosophy & Technology*
- Woodhouse, E., Bedelian, C., Barnes, P., García, G.S.C., Dawson, N., Gross-Camp, N., Homewood, K., Jones, J.P.G., Martin, A., Morgera, E., & Schreckenber, K. (2022). Rethinking entrenched narratives about protected areas and human wellbeing in the Global South. *UCL Open Environment*
- Woodhouse, E., Bedelian, C., Barnes, P., García, G.S.C., Dawson, N., Gross-Camp, N., Homewood, K., Jones, J.P.G., Martin, A., Morgera, E., & Schreckenber, K. (2021). Rethinking entrenched narratives about protected areas and human wellbeing in the Global South