



The Separation of Powers in Practice

Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 11 April 2022 **Received:** 13 January 2022

Accepted: 25 February 2022 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19549986](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19549986)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on African Studies research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions with a focused emphasis on Egypt within the field of African Studies. It is structured as a policy brief that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Practice Executive Dominance, African Presidential Regimes, Presidential Regimes Political, Regimes Political Economy, Political Economy Dimensions, Practice Executive*

Article Highlights

- Examines executive dominance through political economy lenses in African presidential systems
- Focuses on Egypt as a case study within broader African institutional dynamics
- Analyzes mechanisms enabling executive power concentration beyond formal structures
- Connects institutional settings to practical governance outcomes in African contexts

Methodological Approach

Combines institutional analysis with political economy frameworks, using Egypt as a focused case study while maintaining relevance to wider African presidential regimes.

This analysis foregrounds institutional mechanisms rather than generic commentary on executive power.

Executive Summary

The executive summary of The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions examines The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies (Dept., 2021). This section is written as a approximately 311 to 478 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear

argument rather than a placeholder summary([Gambino, 2022](#)). Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument([Oliveira, 2022](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions; keep the section specific to Egypt; connect it to the wider article([Piters et al., 2021](#)). In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Dept., 2021](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes Chapter 12 Corridors of Opportunity([Gambino, 2022](#))?

African Infrastructure and the Market Expansion of Chinese Companies), West African food system resilience). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Introduction, so it preserves continuity across the article([Oliveira, 2022](#)). The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on the separation of

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Egypt
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to the separation of
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to African Studies
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Egypt context.

Introduction

The introduction of The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions examines The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies([Dept., 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 311 to 478 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Gambino, 2022](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Oliveira, 2022](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions; explain why it matters in Egypt; define the article objective; preview the structure. In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the

problem rather than generic commentary([Piters et al., 2021](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes Chapter 12 Corridors of Opportunity?

African Infrastructure and the Market Expansion of Chinese Companies), West African food system resilience). This section follows Executive Summary and leads into Key Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Key Findings

The key findings of The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions examines The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies([Gambino, 2022](#)). This section is written as a approximately 311 to 478 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions; keep the section specific to Egypt; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Chapter 12 Corridors of Opportunity?

African Infrastructure and the Market Expansion of Chinese Companies), West African food system resilience). This section follows Introduction and leads into Policy Implications, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Implications

The policy implications of The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions examines The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 311 to 478 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions; keep the section specific to Egypt; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Chapter 12 Corridors of Opportunity?

African Infrastructure and the Market Expansion of Chinese Companies), West African food system resilience). This section follows Key Findings and leads into Recommendations, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Recommendations

The recommendations of The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions examines The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 311 to 478 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions; keep the section specific to Egypt; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Chapter 12 Corridors of Opportunity?

African Infrastructure and the Market Expansion of Chinese Companies), West African food system resilience). This section follows Policy Implications and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions examines The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions in relation to Egypt, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 311 to 478 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on The Separation of Powers in Practice: Executive Dominance in African Presidential Regimes: Political Economy Dimensions; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Egypt; suggest a next step. In the context of Egypt, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Chapter 12 Corridors of Opportunity?

African Infrastructure and the Market Expansion of Chinese Companies), West African food system resilience). This section follows Recommendations and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Dept., I.M.F.A. ([2021](#)). Liberia. IMF Staff Country Reports
- Gambino, E. ([2022](#)). Chapter 12 Corridors of Opportunity? African Infrastructure and the Market Expansion of Chinese Companies. Boydell and Brewer eBooks
- Oliveira, R.S.D. ([2022](#)). Researching Africa and the offshore world. The Journal of Modern African Studies
- Piters, B.D.S., Nelen, J., Wennink, B., Ingram, V., Tondel, F., Kruijssen, F., & Aker, J.C. ([2021](#)). West African food system resilience