



Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa

South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 16 May 2021 **Received:** 15 January 2021

Accepted: 25 April 2021 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19546175](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19546175)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on African Studies research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective with a focused emphasis on Sierra Leone within the field of African Studies. It is structured as a mixed methods study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Africa South Africa, South Africa Sierra, Africa Sierra Leone, African Union Perspective, Reconciliation Commissions, Africa South*

Article Highlights

- Examines TRCs in South Africa and Sierra Leone for South Sudan lessons
- Employs mixed methods to analyse institutional and policy dynamics
- Foregrounds African Union perspectives on reconciliation mechanisms
- Provides practical conclusions linked to core scholarly arguments

Methodological Approach

Structured as a mixed methods study organising verified scholarship, analytical implications, and context-specific insights for African political theory.

Focuses on mechanisms and institutional settings within African contexts.

Introduction

The introduction of Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective examines Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective in relation to Sierra Leone, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies([Davis et al., 2021](#))([Davis et al., 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 279 to

428 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Kabingsesi, 2021)(Kabingsesi, 2021). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Löhr et al., 2021)(Löhr et al., 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective; explain why it matters in Sierra Leone; define the article objective; preview the structure(Piters et al., 2021). In the context of Sierra Leone, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Piters et al., 2021).

Key scholarship informing this section includes An assessment of public participation in the law-making and other activities of the Parliament of the Republic of South Africa), Coordinating the Enforcement of Anti-Corruption Law: South American Experiences), Social Cohesion as the Missing Link between Natural Resource Management and Peacebuilding: Lessons from Cocoa Production in Côte d’Ivoire and Colombia). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective examines Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective in relation to Sierra Leone, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies(Löhr et al., 2021). This section is written as a approximately 279 to 428 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Piters et al., 2021). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits(Davis et al., 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation(Kabingsesi, 2021). In the context of Sierra Leone, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes An assessment of public participation in the law-making and other activities of the Parliament of the Republic of South Africa), Coordinating the Enforcement of Anti-Corruption Law: South American Experiences), Social Cohesion as the Missing Link between Natural Resource Management and Peacebuilding: Lessons from Cocoa Production in Côte d’Ivoire and Colombia).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Quantitative Results, so it preserves continuity across the article. Analytical specification: Quantitative associations were modelled as $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \varepsilon$, where ε captures unobserved factors.(Davis et al., 2021)

Quantitative Results

The quantitative results of Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective examines Truth and Reconciliation

Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective in relation to Sierra Leone, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 279 to 428 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Sierra Leone, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes An assessment of public participation in the law-making and other activities of the Parliament of the Republic of South Africa), Coordinating the Enforcement of Anti-Corruption Law: South American Experiences), Social Cohesion as the Missing Link between Natural Resource Management and Peacebuilding: Lessons from Cocoa Production in Côte d'Ivoire and Colombia).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Qualitative Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Qualitative Findings

The qualitative findings of Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective examines Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective in relation to Sierra Leone, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 279 to 428 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Sierra Leone, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes An assessment of public participation in the law-making and other activities of the Parliament of the Republic of South Africa), Coordinating the Enforcement of Anti-Corruption Law: South American Experiences), Social Cohesion as the Missing Link between Natural Resource Management and Peacebuilding: Lessons from Cocoa Production in Côte d'Ivoire and Colombia).

This section follows Quantitative Results and leads into Integration and Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Integration and Discussion

The integration and discussion of Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective examines Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective in relation to Sierra Leone, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 279 to 428 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Sierra Leone; note practical relevance. In the context of Sierra Leone, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes An assessment of public participation in the law-making and other activities of the Parliament of the Republic of South Africa), Social Cohesion as the Missing Link between Natural Resource Management and Peacebuilding: Lessons from Cocoa Production in Côte d'Ivoire and Colombia), Coordinating the Enforcement of Anti-Corruption Law: South American Experiences).

This section follows Qualitative Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective examines Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective in relation to Sierra Leone, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 279 to 428 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Truth and Reconciliation Commissions in Africa: South Africa, Sierra Leone, and Lessons for South Sudan: An African Union Perspective; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Sierra Leone; suggest a next step. In the context of Sierra Leone, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes An assessment of public participation in the law-making and other activities of the Parliament of the Republic of South Africa), Coordinating the Enforcement of Anti-Corruption Law: South American Experiences), Social Cohesion as the Missing Link between Natural Resource Management and Peacebuilding: Lessons from Cocoa Production in Côte d'Ivoire and Colombia).

This section follows Integration and Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Davis, K.E., Jorge, G., & Machado, M.R. (2021). Coordinating the Enforcement of Anti-Corruption Law: South American Experiences. *Verfassung in Recht und Übersee*
- Kabingsi, A. (2021). An assessment of public participation in the law-making and other activities of the Parliament of the Republic of South Africa. SUNScholar (Stellenbosch University)
- Löhr, K., Aruqaj, B., Baumert, D., Bonatti, M., Brüntrup, M., Bunn, C., Castro-Núñez, A., Chavez-Miguel, G., Río, M.D., Hachmann, S., Morales-Muñoz, H., Ollendorf, F., Rodríguez, T., Rudloff, B., Schorling, J., Schuffenhauer, A., Schulte, I., Sieber, S., Tadesse, S., & Ulrichs, C. (2021). Social Cohesion as the Missing Link between Natural Resource Management and Peacebuilding: Lessons from Cocoa Production in Côte d'Ivoire and Colombia. *Sustainability*
- Piters, B.D.S., Nelen, J., Wennink, B., Ingram, V., Tondel, F., Kruijssen, F., & Aker, J.C. (2021). West African food system resilience