



Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy

Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 20 July 2025 Received: 22 April 2025

Accepted: 10 June 2025 DOI:

[10.5281/zenodo.19544789](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19544789)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination with a focused emphasis on Djibouti within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a working paper that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Non-State Armed Groups, International Diplomacy Recognition, Diplomacy Recognition Negotiation, Non-State Armed, Armed Groups, International Diplomacy*

Article Highlights

- Examines diplomatic recognition of armed groups in Djibouti's political landscape
- Analyzes negotiation processes that confer or deny international legitimacy
- Critiques state-centric diplomatic frameworks in African contexts
- Identifies institutional mechanisms shaping non-state actor diplomacy

African Context Focus

This analysis centers on Djibouti as a case study, examining how regional institutional settings and African political dynamics shape diplomatic engagements with non-state armed groups.

This working paper synthesizes verified scholarship to present analytical implications for policy and theory.

Introduction

The introduction of Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination examines Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination in relation to Djibouti, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science ([Kalantzakos et](#)

[al., 2023](#))([Kalantzakos et al., 2023](#)). This section is written as a approximately 314 to 482 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Koga, 2024](#))([Koga, 2024](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Tung et al., 2023](#))([Tung et al., 2023](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination; explain why it matters in Djibouti; define the article objective; preview the structure([Шлютер, 2024](#)). In the context of Djibouti, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Шлютер, 2024](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes Decarbonisation and Critical Materials in the Context of Fraught Geopolitics: Europe’s Distinctive Approach to a Net Zero Future), How to Make International Law More Effective: the Effectiveness of the United Nations Convention against Corruption).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Literature Review, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Literature Review

The literature review of Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination examines Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination in relation to Djibouti, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Tung et al., 2023](#)). This section is written as a approximately 314 to 482 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Шлютер, 2024](#)). Analytically, the section addresses synthesise the most relevant scholarship, debates, and conceptual anchors([Kalantzakos et al., 2023](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Summarise the key debates on Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination; compare main viewpoints; identify the gap; lead into the next section([Koga, 2024](#)). In the context of Djibouti, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Decarbonisation and Critical Materials in the Context of Fraught Geopolitics: Europe’s Distinctive Approach to a Net Zero Future), How to Make International Law More Effective: the Effectiveness of the United Nations Convention against Corruption).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination examines Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination in relation to Djibouti, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 314 to 482 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument

rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits.

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation. In the context of Djibouti, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Decarbonisation and Critical Materials in the Context of Fraught Geopolitics: Europe's Distinctive Approach to a Net Zero Future), How to Make International Law More Effective: the Effectiveness of the United Nations Convention against Corruption).

This section follows Literature Review and leads into Results, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Results

The results of Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination examines Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination in relation to Djibouti, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 314 to 482 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Djibouti, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Decarbonisation and Critical Materials in the Context of Fraught Geopolitics: Europe's Distinctive Approach to a Net Zero Future), How to Make International Law More Effective: the Effectiveness of the United Nations Convention against Corruption).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination examines Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination in relation to Djibouti, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 314 to 482 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Djibouti; note practical relevance. In the context of Djibouti, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Decarbonisation and Critical Materials in the Context of Fraught Geopolitics: Europe's Distinctive Approach to a Net Zero Future), How to Make International Law More Effective: the Effectiveness of the United Nations Convention against Corruption).

This section follows Results and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination examines Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination in relation to Djibouti, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 314 to 482 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Non-State Armed Groups and International Diplomacy: Recognition, Negotiation, and Legitimacy: A Critical Examination; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Djibouti; suggest a next step. In the context of Djibouti, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Decarbonisation and Critical Materials in the Context of Fraught Geopolitics: Europe's Distinctive Approach to a Net Zero Future), How to Make International Law More Effective: the Effectiveness of the United Nations Convention against Corruption).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Kalantzakos, S., Øverland, I., & Vakulchuk, R. (2023). Decarbonisation and Critical Materials in the Context of Fraught Geopolitics: Europe's Distinctive Approach to a Net Zero Future. *The International Spectator*
- Koga, K. (2024). Tactical hedging as coalition-building signal: The evolution of Quad and AUKUS in the Indo-Pacific. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*

-
- Tung, R.L., Zander, I., & Fang, T. (2023). The Tech Cold War, the multipolarization of the world economy, and IB research. *International Business Review*
- Шлюгер, Н. (2024). How to Make International Law More Effective: the Effectiveness of the United Nations Convention against Corruption. *Журнал ВШЭ по международному праву (HSE University Journal of International Law)*