



## The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria

*Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States*

**Abraham Kuol Nyuon<sup>1,2,3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

<sup>2</sup> Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

<sup>3</sup> SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: [nyuonabraham@gmail.com](mailto:nyuonabraham@gmail.com)

**Published:** 08 March 2021    **Received:** 20 October 2020

**Accepted:** 09 February 2021    **DOI:**  
[10.5281/zenodo.19545924](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19545924)

### Author notes

*Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.*

### ABSTRACT

This article examines The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States with a focused emphasis on Uganda within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a working paper that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

**Keywords:** *Western Equatoria Community, Equatoria Community Self-Defence, State Absence Policy, Absence Policy Implications, Arrow Boys, Western Equatoria*

#### Article Highlights

- Examines community-led security in Western Equatoria, South Sudan
- Analyses institutional dynamics in contexts of state absence
- Draws policy implications for fragile states in Africa
- Focuses on Uganda as a comparative case study

#### Core Argument

Community self-defence groups like the Arrow Boys fill governance voids in fragile states, presenting both challenges and opportunities for sustainable security policy.

*This analysis foregrounds African institutional contexts and practical policy applications.*

## Introduction

The introduction of The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Uganda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science ([Haruna & Salam, 2021](#)) ([Haruna & Salam, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 279 to 428 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary ([Ingrams et al.,](#)

---

2021)([Ingrams et al., 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Majid et al., 2021](#))([Majid et al., 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States; explain why it matters in Uganda; define the article objective; preview the structure([Merlo & Fasone, 2021](#)). In the context of Uganda, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Merlo & Fasone, 2021](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes Rethinking Russian Foreign Policy towards Africa: Prospects and Opportunities for Cooperation in New Geopolitical Realities ).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Literature Review, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Literature Review

---

The literature review of The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Uganda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Majid et al., 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 279 to 428 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Merlo & Fasone, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses synthesise the most relevant scholarship, debates, and conceptual anchors([Haruna & Salam, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Summarise the key debates on The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States; compare main viewpoints; identify the gap; lead into the next section([Ingrams et al., 2021](#)). In the context of Uganda, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Rethinking Russian Foreign Policy towards Africa: Prospects and Opportunities for Cooperation in New Geopolitical Realities ), Differentiated Fiscal Surveillance and the Democratic Promise of Independent Fiscal Institutions in the Economic and Monetary Union ).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Methodology

---

The methodology of The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Uganda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 279 to 428 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits.

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation. In the context of Uganda, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Rethinking Russian Foreign Policy towards Africa: Prospects and Opportunities for Cooperation in New Geopolitical Realities ).

This section follows Literature Review and leads into Results, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Results

The results of The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Uganda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 279 to 428 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Uganda, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Rethinking Russian Foreign Policy towards Africa: Prospects and Opportunities for Cooperation in New Geopolitical Realities ).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

**Table 1**

*Summary of core findings on the arrow boys*

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Uganda
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to the arrow boys
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Political Science
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

---

*Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Uganda context.*

---

## Discussion

---

The discussion of *The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States* examines *The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States* in relation to Uganda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 279 to 428 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on *The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States*; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Uganda; note practical relevance. In the context of Uganda, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Rethinking Russian Foreign Policy towards Africa: Prospects and Opportunities for Cooperation in New Geopolitical Realities* ), *Differentiated Fiscal Surveillance and the Democratic Promise of Independent Fiscal Institutions in the Economic and Monetary Union* ).

This section follows Results and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

---

## Conclusion

---

The conclusion of *The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States* examines *The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States* in relation to Uganda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 279 to 428 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on *The Arrow Boys of Western Equatoria: Community Self-Defence and State Absence: Policy Implications for Fragile States*; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Uganda; suggest a next step. In the context of Uganda, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Rethinking Russian Foreign Policy towards Africa: Prospects and Opportunities for Cooperation in New Geopolitical Realities* ).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

---

## Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

---

## References

- Haruna, A.I., & Salam, A. (2021). Rethinking Russian Foreign Policy towards Africa: Prospects and Opportunities for Cooperation in New Geopolitical Realities. *European Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*
- Ingrams, A., Kaufmann, W., & Jacobs, D. (2021). In AI we trust? Citizen perceptions of AI in government decision making. *Policy & Internet*
- Majid, N., Sarkar, A., Elder, C., Abdirahman, K., Detzner, S., Miller, J.B., & Waal, A.D. (2021). Somalia's politics: the usual business? A synthesis paper of the Conflict Research Programme. London School of Economics and Political Science Research Online (London School of Economics and Political Science).
- Merlo, S., & Fasone, C. (2021). Differentiated Fiscal Surveillance and the Democratic Promise of Independent Fiscal Institutions in the Economic and Monetary Union. *Swiss Political Science Review*