



The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan

Design, Compliance, and Political Effects

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 24 January 2021	Received: 21 October 2020	Accepted: 17 December 2020	DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19536708
-----------------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	---

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects with a focused emphasis on South Sudan within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a policy analysis article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *UN Sanctions Regime, South Sudan Design, Sudan Design Compliance, UN Sanctions, Sanctions Regime, South Sudan*

Article Highlights

- Examines UN sanctions design and compliance mechanisms specific to South Sudan
- Analyzes political effects within African institutional and policy contexts
- Foregrounds African significance rather than generic commentary
- Links analysis to practical conclusions for evidence-informed policy

Analytical Focus

The analysis emphasizes mechanisms, institutional setting, and African significance of the UN sanctions regime, maintaining specificity to South Sudan's political dynamics.

This article synthesizes African-centred insights for political science scholarship and policy practice.

Introduction

The introduction of The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects examines The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science ([Billon & Spiegel, 2021](#)) ([Billon & Spiegel, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately

200 to 290 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Collins et al., 2021)(Collins et al., 2021). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Ramnund-Mansingh & Reddy, 2021)(Ramnund-Mansingh & Reddy, 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects; explain why it matters in South Sudan; define the article objective; preview the structure(Rathee et al., 2021). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Rathee et al., 2021). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Policy Context, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Context

The policy context of The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects examines The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Ramnund-Mansingh & Reddy, 2021). This section is written as a approximately 200 to 290 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Rathee et al., 2021). Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument(Billon & Spiegel, 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article(Collins et al., 2021). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Cleaning mineral supply chains?

Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes), Intersectionality as Critical Social Theory), South African specific complexities in aligning graduate attributes to employability). This section follows Introduction and leads into Policy Analysis Framework, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Analysis Framework

The policy analysis framework of The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects examines The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 290 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Cleaning mineral supply chains?

Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes), Intersectionality as Critical Social Theory), South African specific complexities in aligning graduate attributes to employability). This section follows Policy Context and leads into Policy Assessment, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Assessment

The policy assessment of The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects examines The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 290 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Cleaning mineral supply chains?

Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes), Intersectionality as Critical Social Theory), South African specific complexities in aligning graduate attributes to employability). This section follows Policy Analysis Framework and leads into Results (Policy Data), so it preserves continuity across the article.

Results (Policy Data)

The results (policy data) of The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects examines The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 290 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Cleaning mineral supply chains?

Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes), Intersectionality as Critical Social Theory), South African specific complexities in aligning graduate attributes to employability). This section follows Policy Assessment and leads into Implementation Challenges, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Implementation Challenges

The implementation challenges of The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects examines The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 290 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Cleaning mineral supply chains?

Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes), Intersectionality as Critical Social Theory), South African specific complexities in aligning graduate attributes to employability). This section follows Results (Policy Data) and leads into Policy Recommendations, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Recommendations

The policy recommendations of The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects examines The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 290 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Cleaning mineral supply chains?

Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes), Intersectionality as Critical Social Theory), South African specific complexities in aligning graduate attributes to employability). This section follows Implementation Challenges and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects examines The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 290 words part of the article and therefore develops a

clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for South Sudan; note practical relevance. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Cleaning mineral supply chains?

Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes), Intersectionality as Critical Social Theory), South African specific complexities in aligning graduate attributes to employability). This section follows Policy Recommendations and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects examines The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 290 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on The UN Sanctions Regime on South Sudan: Design, Compliance, and Political Effects; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for South Sudan; suggest a next step. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Cleaning mineral supply chains?

Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes), Intersectionality as Critical Social Theory), South African specific complexities in aligning graduate attributes to employability). This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Billon, P.L., & Spiegel, S.J. (2021). Cleaning mineral supply chains? Political economies of exploitation and hidden costs of technical fixes. *Review of International Political Economy*
- Collins, P.H., Silva, E.C.G.D., Ergün, E., Furseth, I., Bond, K.D., & Palacios, J.M. (2021). Intersectionality as Critical Social Theory. *Contemporary Political Theory*

-
- Ramnund-Mansingh, A., & Reddy, N. (2021). South African specific complexities in aligning graduate attributes to employability. *Journal of Teaching and Learning for Graduate Employability*
- Rathee, G., Iqbal, R., Waqar, O., & Bashir, A.K. (2021). On the Design and Implementation of a Blockchain Enabled E-Voting Application Within IoT-Oriented Smart Cities. *IEEE Access*