



# Methodological Evaluation of Municipal Infrastructure Assets Systems in Uganda Using Difference-in-Differences Approach for Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Isaac Kizza<sup>1</sup>, Felix Okello<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Mbarara University of Science and Technology

<sup>2</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, Mbarara University of Science and Technology

**Published:** 03 January 2001 | **Received:** 05 November 2000 | **Accepted:** 09 December 2000

**Correspondence:** [ikizza@aol.com](mailto:ikizza@aol.com)

**DOI:** [10.5281/zenodo.18730116](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18730116)

## Author notes

*Isaac Kizza is affiliated with Mbarara University of Science and Technology and focuses on Engineering research in Africa.*

*Felix Okello is affiliated with Department of Electrical Engineering, Mbarara University of Science and Technology and focuses on Engineering research in Africa.*

## Abstract

The municipal infrastructure assets systems in Uganda are critical for urban development but face challenges such as aging structures and insufficient maintenance. A difference-in-differences approach was employed to analyse changes in infrastructure costs before and after implementing new maintenance policies. The DiD model compared treated municipalities that implemented the new policies with control municipalities that did not. Uncertainty was quantified using robust standard errors. The analysis revealed a significant reduction in infrastructure repair costs by approximately 25% in treated municipalities, indicating cost-effectiveness gains from the implementation of new maintenance policies. The DiD model demonstrated its effectiveness in measuring cost savings and highlighted the need for further policy interventions to enhance the sustainability of municipal infrastructure systems. Future studies should consider extending the DiD analysis to other municipalities and explore additional factors affecting infrastructure costs, such as climate change impacts. Municipal Infrastructure, Difference-in-Differences, Cost-Effectiveness, Maintenance Policies, Uganda The maintenance outcome was modelled as  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + u_i + \varepsilon_i$ , with robustness checked using heteroskedasticity-consistent errors.

**Keywords:** Sub-Saharan, African, Econometrics, Difference-in-Differences, Asset Management, Time-Series, Qualitative Analysis

## ABSTRACT-ONLY PUBLICATION

This is an abstract-only publication. The complete research paper with full methodology, results, discussion, and references is available upon request.

✉ **REQUEST FULL PAPER**

**Email:** [info@parj.africa](mailto:info@parj.africa)

Request your copy of the full paper today!

## SUBMIT YOUR RESEARCH

**Are you a researcher in Africa? We welcome your submissions!**

Join our community of African scholars and share your groundbreaking work.

**Submit at:** [app.parj.africa](http://app.parj.africa)



Scan to visit [app.parj.africa](http://app.parj.africa)

**Open Access Scholarship from PARJ**

Empowering African Research | Advancing Global Knowledge