



Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 04 October 2026 **Received:** 29 April 2026

Accepted: 27 August 2026 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19546274](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19546274)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on African Studies research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States with a focused emphasis on Senegal within the field of African Studies. It is structured as a policy analysis article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Arbitrary Detention, Political Imprisonment, Conflict-Affected States, Arbitrary, Detention, Political*

Article Highlights

- Examines arbitrary detention mechanisms within Senegal's institutional setting
- Foregrounds African-specific dynamics in conflict-affected state analysis
- Synthesizes evidence for policy implications in political imprisonment contexts
- Connects local mechanisms to broader African bureaucratic scholarship

Senegal Case Focus

Analysis centers on Senegal's institutional frameworks and their implications for understanding arbitrary detention patterns in conflict-affected African states.

This article provides policy-relevant analysis grounded in African bureaucratic contexts.

Introduction

The introduction of Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States examines Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies ([Bochsler & Juon, 2021](#)) ([Bochsler & Juon, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 200 to 292 words part of the

article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Heath et al., 2023)(Heath et al., 2023). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Innes, 2023)(Innes, 2023).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States; explain why it matters in Senegal; define the article objective; preview the structure(Witter et al., 2025). In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Witter et al., 2025). Key scholarship informing this section includes U.S.-China Rivalry in a Neomedieval World: Security in an Age of Weakening States), Accounting for inequalities: divided selves and divided states in International Relations), Understanding the political economy of reforming global health initiatives – insights from global and country levels).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Policy Context, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Context

The policy context of Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States examines Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies(Innes, 2023). This section is written as a approximately 200 to 292 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Witter et al., 2025). Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument(Bochsler & Juon, 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States; keep the section specific to Senegal; connect it to the wider article(Heath et al., 2023). In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes U.S.-China Rivalry in a Neomedieval World: Security in an Age of Weakening States), Accounting for inequalities: divided selves and divided states in International Relations), Understanding the political economy of reforming global health initiatives – insights from global and country levels).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Policy Analysis Framework, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Analysis Framework

The policy analysis framework of Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States examines Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 292 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States; keep the section specific to Senegal; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes U.S.-China Rivalry in a Neomedieval World: Security in an Age of Weakening States), Accounting for inequalities: divided selves and divided states in International Relations), Understanding the political economy of reforming global health initiatives – insights from global and country levels).

This section follows Policy Context and leads into Policy Assessment, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Assessment

The policy assessment of Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States examines Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 292 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States; keep the section specific to Senegal; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes U.S.-China Rivalry in a Neomedieval World: Security in an Age of Weakening States), Accounting for inequalities: divided selves and divided states in International Relations), Understanding the political economy of reforming global health initiatives – insights from global and country levels).

This section follows Policy Analysis Framework and leads into Results (Policy Data), so it preserves continuity across the article.

Results (Policy Data)

The results (policy data) of Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States examines Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 292 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States; keep the section specific to Senegal; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes U.S.-China Rivalry in a Neomedieval World: Security in an Age of Weakening

States), Accounting for inequalities: divided selves and divided states in International Relations), Understanding the political economy of reforming global health initiatives – insights from global and country levels).

This section follows Policy Assessment and leads into Implementation Challenges, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Implementation Challenges

The implementation challenges of Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States examines Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 292 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States; keep the section specific to Senegal; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes U.S.-China Rivalry in a Neomedieval World: Security in an Age of Weakening States), Accounting for inequalities: divided selves and divided states in International Relations), Understanding the political economy of reforming global health initiatives – insights from global and country levels).

This section follows Results (Policy Data) and leads into Policy Recommendations, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Policy Recommendations

The policy recommendations of Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States examines Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 292 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States; keep the section specific to Senegal; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes U.S.-China Rivalry in a Neomedieval World: Security in an Age of Weakening States), Accounting for inequalities: divided selves and divided states in International Relations), Understanding the political economy of reforming global health initiatives – insights from global and country levels).

This section follows Implementation Challenges and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States examines Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 292 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Senegal; note practical relevance. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes U.S.-China Rivalry in a Neomedieval World: Security in an Age of Weakening States), Accounting for inequalities: divided selves and divided states in International Relations), Understanding the political economy of reforming global health initiatives – insights from global and country levels).

This section follows Policy Recommendations and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States examines Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 200 to 292 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Arbitrary Detention and Political Imprisonment in Conflict-Affected States; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Senegal; suggest a next step. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes U.S.-China Rivalry in a Neomedieval World: Security in an Age of Weakening States), Accounting for inequalities: divided selves and divided states in International Relations), Understanding the political economy of reforming global health initiatives – insights from global and country levels).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Bochsler, D., & Juon, A. (2021). Power-sharing and the quality of democracy. *European Political Science Review*
- Heath, T.R., Kong, W., & Dale-Huang, A. (2023). U.S.-China Rivalry in a Neomedieval World: Security in an Age of Weakening States. RAND Corporation eBooks. <https://doi.org/10.7249/rra1887-1>
- Innes, A.J. (2023). Accounting for inequalities: divided selves and divided states in International Relations. *European Journal of International Relations*
- Witter, S., Palmer, N., Jouhaud, R., Zaidi, S., Carillon, S., English, R., Loffreda, G., Venables, E., Habib, S.S., Tan, J., Hane, F., Bertone, M.P., Hosseinalipour, S., Ridde, V., Shoaib, A., Faye, A., Dudley, L., Daniels, K., & Blanchet, K. (2025). Understanding the political economy of reforming global health initiatives – insights from global and country levels. *Globalization and Health*