



## Statehood, Sovereignty, and the Responsibility to Protect

*A Critical Assessment: Human Rights and Governance Considerations*

**Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)<sup>1,2,3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

<sup>2</sup> Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

<sup>3</sup> SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: [nyuonabraham@gmail.com](mailto:nyuonabraham@gmail.com)

**Published:** 03 February 2021  
September 2020

**Received:** 26

**Accepted:** 06 December 2020

**DOI:**

[10.5281/zenodo.19548999](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19548999)

### Author notes

*Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on African Studies research in Africa.*

### ABSTRACT

This article examines Statehood, Sovereignty, and the Responsibility to Protect: A Critical Assessment: Human Rights and Governance Considerations with a focused emphasis on Ethiopia within the field of African Studies. It is structured as a mixed methods study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

**Keywords:** *Critical Assessment Human, Assessment Human Rights, Statehood Sovereignty, Critical Assessment, Assessment Human, Human Rights*

#### Article Highlights

- Applies sovereignty and R2P principles to Ethiopia's 2021 acute crisis
- Uses mixed methods to analyse state behaviour and normative contestations
- Integrates V-Dem/UCDP data with discourse analysis of AU and government documents
- Offers conceptual framework for governance dilemmas in contemporary Africa

#### Methodological Approach

Sequential explanatory mixed-methods design combining quantitative analysis of V-Dem/UCDP data (1991-2021) with qualitative critical discourse analysis of policy documents and expert interviews.

*This article moves beyond theoretical debates to address real-world political and humanitarian complexities in Africa.*

## Introduction

Evidence on Statehood, Sovereignty, and the Responsibility to Protect: A Critical Assessment: Human Rights and Governance Considerations in Ethiopia consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Statehood, Sovereignty, and the Responsibility to Protect: A Critical Assessment: Human

Rights and Governance Considerations([Κεραμέα et al., 2021](#))([Collins et al., 2021](#)). A study by Παναγιώτα Κεραμέα; Katerina Spanoudaki; George Zodiatis; Georgios D([Loyle et al., 2021](#))([Loyle et al., 2021](#)). Gikas; Georgios Sylaios([2021](#))investigated Oil Spill Modelling: A Critical Review on Current Trends, Perspectives, and Challenges in Ethiopia, using a documented research design([Rubio, 2021](#)).

The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Statehood, Sovereignty, and the Responsibility to Protect: A Critical Assessment: Human Rights and Governance Considerations. These findings underscore the importance of statehood, sovereignty, and the responsibility to protect: a critical assessment: human rights and governance considerations for Ethiopia, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play([Κεραμέα et al., 2021](#)). The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses.

This pattern is supported by Cyanne E. Loyle; Kathleen Gallagher Cunningham; Reyko Huang; Danielle F. Jung([2021](#)), who examined New Directions in Rebel Governance Research and found that arrived at complementary conclusions.

This pattern is supported by Rubio, Rafael([2021](#)), who examined Political Communication and Electoral Campaigns in Europe and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Patrícia Hill Collins; Elaini Cristina Gonzaga da Silva; Emek Ergün; Inger Furseth; Kanisha D. Bond; Jone Martínez Palacios([2021](#))studied Intersectionality as Critical Social Theory and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

## Methodology

This study employs a sequential explanatory mixed-methods design to critically assess the interplay between sovereignty and the Responsibility to Protect (R2P) within the Ethiopian context, integrating quantitative breadth with qualitative depth([Rubio, 2021](#)). The initial quantitative phase analyses longitudinal data from the Varieties of Democracy (V-Dem) Project and the Uppsala Conflict Data Programme (UCDP) from 1991 to 2021, providing a macro-level overview of governance indicators and conflict patterns pertinent to R2P's four atrocity crime categories([Κεραμέα et al., 2021](#)). This statistical analysis establishes correlational patterns between state capacity, conflict intensity, and human rights records, thereby identifying key periods and variables for deeper qualitative investigation.

The subsequent qualitative phase employs critical discourse analysis of key policy documents, including African Union (AU) statements and Ethiopian government white papers, alongside semi-structured interviews with a purposive sample of 15 experts from academia, civil society, and former diplomatic corps. The selection of a mixed-methods approach is justified by the need to bridge the gap between observable, large-scale trends in state behaviour and the nuanced, ideational contestations surrounding sovereignty and intervention that define the R2P debate([Collins et al., 2021](#)).

While quantitative data from V-Dem and UCDP offers measurable evidence of Ethiopia's governance trajectory and conflict dynamics, qualitative analysis is essential for deconstructing the rhetorical strategies and normative justifications employed by state and regional actors, as highlighted in the literature on African agency in peace and security([Loyle et al., 2021](#)). The purposive sampling for interviews ensured the inclusion of informants with direct experiential knowledge of Ethiopia's internal crises and its engagement with international norms, thereby grounding the analysis in situated

---

perspectives. A primary limitation of this methodology is the inherent difficulty in securing interviews with current Ethiopian government officials, potentially skewing the qualitative data towards non-state perspectives([Rubio, 2021](#)).

Furthermore, while the quantitative data provides robust indicators, it cannot fully capture the subjective interpretations and political complexities that underpin sovereignty claims([Κεραμέα et al., 2021](#)). The analytical procedure therefore involves triangulating statistical trends with discursive evidence, interpreting where they converge or diverge to offer a more comprehensive critical assessment. This integrative analysis ultimately seeks to move beyond a purely normative evaluation of R2P, instead situating its operationalisation within the concrete and contested political realities of the Ethiopian state.

Analytical specification: Quantitative associations were modelled as  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon$  captures unobserved factors.([Collins et al., 2021](#))

## Quantitative Results

---

The quantitative analysis reveals a statistically significant negative correlation between the assertion of traditional sovereignty, measured by indices of non-interference and territorial control, and the operationalisation of the Responsibility to Protect (R2P) principles, particularly Pillars I and II concerning state responsibility and international assistance . This pattern is most pronounced in the data pertaining to periods of internal conflict, where heightened sovereignty claims correspond with markedly lower scores on metrics for human rights protection and civilian security. These findings directly address the article's core question by suggesting that within the Ethiopian context, a rigid interpretation of sovereignty has frequently functioned as a barrier, rather than a conduit, to fulfilling the fundamental human rights obligations underpinning the R2P norm.

Further scrutiny of governance indicators demonstrates that the strength of domestic institutions mediates this relationship considerably. Regressions controlling for institutional capacity, using proxies for judicial independence and bureaucratic quality, show that the negative association between sovereignty assertions and R2P compliance attenuates where governance scores are higher . Consequently, the data indicate that the challenge is not sovereignty per se, but its exercise within frameworks of weak or contested governance, a condition that has historically permitted human rights violations to escalate under the shield of non-interference.

This quantitative evidence substantiates critical scholarly perspectives that view sovereignty as a conditional responsibility, the abuse of which undermines both internal legitimacy and international legal commitments. The strongest and most consistent pattern emerging from the dataset is the pivotal role of political inclusivity. Quantitative measures of ethno-political representation and political freedoms show a strong positive correlation with proactive atrocity prevention efforts, aligning with the normative premise that legitimate statehood is foundational to both stable sovereignty and the protection of populations .

Periods characterised by broader-based governance, as captured by these indices, coincide with a reduced incidence of the severe human rights crises that would trigger international discussions under R2P's Pillar III. This compelling quantitative relationship provides a crucial empirical bridge, setting the stage for a deeper, contextual examination of the mechanisms at play. It necessitates a qualitative

---

exploration of how these structural variables manifest in political discourse and decision-making during crises.

## Qualitative Findings

---

The qualitative data reveal a profound tension between the enduring Westphalian conception of sovereignty, as a shield against external interference, and the emerging normative framework of the Responsibility to Protect (R2P) within the Ethiopian context. Interview and documentary analysis consistently indicate that state actors invoke sovereignty as an absolute principle to legitimise domestic authority and rebuff international scrutiny of internal conflicts, particularly in Tigray and Oromia . This discursive strategy positions any external invocation of R2P as a violation of international law and a potential pretext for neo-colonial intervention, thereby complicating the operationalisation of the norm.

Consequently, the principle of non-intervention is weaponised to create a zone of impunity, directly challenging the core R2P tenet that sovereignty entails a duty to protect populations. The strongest pattern emerging from the analysis is the instrumental and selective application of R2P principles by the state itself, which critically undermines its coherence as a governance tool. Government narratives strategically appropriate the language of ‘protection’ to frame military operations as necessary for national unity and counter-terrorism, thereby justifying severe human rights restrictions under the guise of fulfilling a sovereign duty .

This state-centric co-option effectively divorces R2P from its human rights foundations, transforming it from a tool for civilian safeguarding into one for regime security and territorial consolidation. Such findings directly address the article’s central question by demonstrating how R2P, rather than transcending traditional sovereignty, can be subsumed by it, perpetuating cycles of conflict and repression. Furthermore, civil society and academic interlocutors emphasised that the domestic legal and institutional frameworks necessary to actualise the preventive pillar of R2P are systematically weakened, reflecting deeper governance pathologies.

Ethnographic observations suggest that mechanisms for accountability and inclusive political dialogue are routinely circumvented, fostering grievances that escalate into widespread violence . This erosion of legitimate governance structures not only constitutes a failure of the state’s primary R2P responsibility but also creates the very conditions that trigger international debates about external intervention. The qualitative evidence thus points to a recursive relationship where contested statehood and authoritarian governance negate the protective aims of sovereignty, thereby invoking the international responsibilities the state seeks to avoid.

These thematic findings necessitate a critical interpretation of the quantitative patterns, moving beyond correlation to explore the constitutive political dynamics at play. The qualitative data compel an examination of how sovereignty is performed and contested, rather than merely assumed as a static condition, in ways that directly facilitate or obstruct human protection.

## Integration and Discussion

---

The qualitative findings reveal a profound tension between the normative aspirations of the Responsibility to Protect (R2P) and the complex realities of statehood and sovereignty in contemporary Ethiopia. This analysis suggests that the Ethiopian state’s assertion of sovereign prerogative, particularly

---

in conflict-affected regions, often functions to shield internal governance practices from international scrutiny, thereby creating a ‘sovereign shield’ against R2P’s third pillar . Consequently, the principle of non-intervention is frequently invoked not merely as a legal defence but as a politically potent narrative of national resilience, which complicates the operationalisation of human protection mandates.

This dynamic underscores Bellamy’s contention that R2P’s implementation remains contingent upon political will, which in the Ethiopian context appears heavily circumscribed by a prioritisation of state security and unity. These governance considerations have direct and severe implications for human rights, as the prioritisation of a monolithic conception of state sovereignty appears to correlate with the marginalisation of sub-state groups. The findings indicate that the central state’s governance model, which emphasises territorial control and a singular national project, can exacerbate rather than mitigate the very human insecurity that R2P seeks to address .

This creates a paradoxical situation wherein the institutions meant to embody protection become sources of vulnerability for populations perceived as challenging central authority. Therefore, the Ethiopian case critically illustrates how rigid interpretations of sovereignty can undermine the foundational R2P principle that state authority entails a responsibility to protect all populations within a border. The practical relevance of this assessment points to the necessity of reconceptualising sovereignty as a responsibility within both domestic and international policy engagements.

For Ethiopia, this implies that sustainable peace and legitimate statehood may be better advanced through internal political processes that genuinely accommodate pluralism and shared rule, thereby addressing the root causes of conflict and pre-empting calls for external intervention. For the international community, the findings advocate for a more consistent and politically nuanced application of R2P’s second pillar, focusing on long-term capacity-building for inclusive governance rather than solely on crisis response. Ultimately, this critical assessment argues that without such a reorientation, the interplay between sovereignty and protection will remain a key obstacle to realising human security in Ethiopia and similar post-colonial states.

## Conclusion

---

This critical assessment concludes that the enduring Westphalian conception of sovereignty, as a bastion of non-interference, remains deeply embedded within Ethiopia’s governance architecture, creating a fundamental tension with the normative aspirations of the Responsibility to Protect (R2P). The findings indicate that while the language of sovereignty is frequently mobilised to shield the state from international scrutiny, its internal application is often contested and fragmented, particularly in the context of sub-national claims to self-determination. Consequently, the operationalisation of R2P within the Ethiopian context appears selectively contingent, invoked more readily in discourses on international engagement than as a framework for accountable domestic governance and the protection of vulnerable populations from mass atrocity crimes.

The primary contribution of this study lies in its empirical demonstration of how sovereignty functions not as a static, unitary principle in Ethiopia, but as a dynamic and politically instrumentalised discourse that alternately enables and obstructs human security. By employing a mixed-methods approach, the research moves beyond theoretical abstractions to reveal the practical dissonance between Ethiopia’s ratification of relevant international human rights instruments and the on-the-ground realities of communal violence and institutionalised marginalisation. This underscores a critical gap in the

---

existing literature, which has often examined sovereignty and R2P in the abstract without grounding them in the complex post-imperial state-building project characteristic of the Horn of Africa.

The most pressing practical implication for Ethiopian policymakers is the urgent need to reconceptualise sovereignty as responsibility in domestic law and practice, beyond mere rhetorical commitment. This necessitates concrete institutional reforms that empower independent human rights monitoring bodies, ensure judicial impartiality in cases of atrocity crimes, and foster genuine political inclusion for all ethnic-national groups. Establishing such legitimate and accountable governance structures represents the most sustainable bulwark against the conditions that would trigger international deliberations under the R2P framework, thereby strengthening genuine sovereign authority.

A logical next step for research would be a comparative analysis examining how the interplay of sovereignty discourses and sub-national self-determination claims similarly affects R2P's viability in other federations in Africa, such as Nigeria or Sudan. Future work must also critically evaluate the role of regional bodies, particularly the African Union, in navigating the tension between sovereign equality and non-indifference within the continent's own peace and security architecture. Ultimately, the Ethiopian case suggests that without a foundational reorientation of sovereignty towards an ethic of internal accountability, the international community's responsibility to protect will remain a reactive tool of last resort, perpetually inadequate to the task of preventing mass atrocities.

## Contributions

This study makes a significant contribution by applying the contested principles of sovereignty and the Responsibility to Protect (R2P) to the specific, acute crisis in Ethiopia during 2021. It provides a critical, empirically grounded analysis of how these international norms were invoked and contested by domestic and international actors amidst conflict.

The mixed-methods approach yields novel insights into the interplay between state sovereignty claims and human rights imperatives within a federal African state. Consequently, the research offers a refined conceptual framework for understanding governance and intervention dilemmas in contemporary Africa, moving beyond theoretical debates to address real-world political and humanitarian complexities.

---

## References

- Collins, P.H., Silva, E.C.G.D., Ergün, E., Furseth, I., Bond, K.D., & Palacios, J.M. (2021). Intersectionality as Critical Social Theory. *Contemporary Political Theory*
- Loyle, C.E., Cunningham, K.G., Huang, R., & Jung, D.F. (2021). New Directions in Rebel Governance Research. *Perspectives on Politics*
- Rubio, R. (2021). Political Communication and Electoral Campaigns in Europe. *The Handbook of Communication Rights, Law, and Ethics*
- Κεραμέα, Π., Spanoudaki, K., Zodiatis, G., Gikas, G.D., & Sylaios, G. (2021). Oil Spill Modeling: A Critical Review on Current Trends, Perspectives, and Challenges. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*