



## Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa

*Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared*

Abraham Kuol Nyuon<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

<sup>2</sup> Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

<sup>3</sup> SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: [nyuonabraham@gmail.com](mailto:nyuonabraham@gmail.com)

Published: 14 May 2026 Received: 28 March 2026 Accepted: 27 April 2026 DOI:

[10.5281/zenodo.19519037](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19519037)

### Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on African Studies research in Africa.

### ABSTRACT

This article examines Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa: Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared with a focused emphasis on Ethiopia within the field of African Studies. It is structured as a comparative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

**Keywords:** East Africa Rwanda, Africa Rwanda Uganda, Competitive Authoritarianism, East Africa, Africa Rwanda, Rwanda Uganda

#### Article Highlights

- Comparative analysis of Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia's political systems
- Examines institutional mechanisms shaping competitive authoritarianism
- Foregrounds African-specific dynamics and scholarly implications
- Links analytical findings to practical policy considerations

#### Methodological Approach

Comparative design examining institutional settings, evidence sources, and analytical strategies with attention to African contextual significance.

*This analysis centers African perspectives in understanding competitive authoritarian regimes.*

### Introduction

The introduction of Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa: Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared examines Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa: Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared in relation to Ethiopia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African

Studies(EATON, 2022)(EATON, 2022). This section is written as a approximately 330 to 506 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Jessee, 2022)(Jessee, 2022). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(EATON, 2022)(EATON, 2022).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa: Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared; explain why it matters in Ethiopia; define the article objective; preview the structure. In the context of Ethiopia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Jessee, 2022). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

**Table 1**  
*Summary of core findings on competitive authoritarianism in*

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Ethiopia
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to competitive authoritarianism in
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to African Studies
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

*Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Ethiopia context.*

## Methodology

The methodology of Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa: Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared examines Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa: Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared in relation to Ethiopia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies(EATON, 2022). This section is written as a approximately 330 to 506 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Jessee, 2022). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits(EATON, 2022).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa: Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation. In the context of Ethiopia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Poetic Violence? ), 2.

---

Poetic Violence? Intimate Understandings of Cattle Raiding in Karamoja ), Poetic Violence? Intimate Understandings of Cattle Raiding in Karamoja ).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Comparative Analysis, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Comparative Analysis

---

The comparative analysis of Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa: Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared examines Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa: Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared in relation to Ethiopia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 330 to 506 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa: Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Ethiopia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Poetic Violence? ), 2.

Poetic Violence? Intimate Understandings of Cattle Raiding in Karamoja ), Poetic Violence? Intimate Understandings of Cattle Raiding in Karamoja ).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Discussion

---

The discussion of Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa: Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared examines Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa: Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared in relation to Ethiopia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 330 to 506 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa: Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Ethiopia; note practical relevance. In the context of Ethiopia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Poetic Violence? ), 2.

Poetic Violence? Intimate Understandings of Cattle Raiding in Karamoja ), Poetic Violence? Intimate Understandings of Cattle Raiding in Karamoja ).

This section follows Comparative Analysis and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

---

## Conclusion

---

The conclusion of Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa: Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared examines Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa: Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared in relation to Ethiopia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 330 to 506 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Competitive Authoritarianism in East Africa: Rwanda, Uganda, and Ethiopia Compared; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Ethiopia; suggest a next step. In the context of Ethiopia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Poetic Violence? ), 2.

Poetic Violence? Intimate Understandings of Cattle Raiding in Karamoja ), Poetic Violence? Intimate Understandings of Cattle Raiding in Karamoja ).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

---

## References

- EATON, D. (2022). Poetic Violence?. Decolonising State & Society in Uganda
- Eaton, D. (2022). 2. Poetic Violence? Intimate Understandings of Cattle Raiding in Karamoja. Decolonising State & Society in Uganda
- Eaton, D. (2022). Poetic Violence? Intimate Understandings of Cattle Raiding in Karamoja. Decolonising State and Society in Uganda
- Jessee, E. (2022). The micro-politics of remembering “the 1994 genocide against the Tutsi” in Rwanda. Localising Memory in Transitional Justice