



Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan

Multi-Level Governance Perspectives

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 09 June 2026	Received: 01 February 2026	Accepted: 21 May 2026	DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19542124
--------------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------	--

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives with a focused emphasis on South Sudan within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a theoretical framework article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Public Service Delivery, South Sudan Multi-Level, Sudan Multi-Level Governance, Multi-Level Governance Perspectives, Bureaucratic Patrimonialism, Public Service*

Article Highlights

- Examines bureaucratic patrimonialism through multi-level governance perspectives.
- Analyses institutional mechanisms behind public service collapse in South Sudan.
- Provides African-centred theoretical framework for governance scholarship.
- Links analytical findings to practical policy implications for the region.

Core Contribution

Advances an African-specific analytical framework connecting bureaucratic patrimonialism to systemic failures in public service delivery, with direct relevance for post-conflict governance.

This article synthesises key scholarship to develop a context-specific theoretical framework.

Introduction

The introduction of Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives examines Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the

Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Arnaouti et al., 2022](#))([Arnaouti et al., 2022](#)). This section is written as a approximately 302 to 464 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Loyle et al., 2021](#))([Loyle et al., 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Wood et al., 2023](#))([Wood et al., 2023](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives; explain why it matters in South Sudan; define the article objective; preview the structure([Zhou et al., 2024](#)). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Zhou et al., 2024](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes New Directions in Rebel Governance Research), Taking on the Corporate Determinants of Ill-health and Health Inequity: A Scoping Review of Actions to Address Excessive Corporate Power to Protect and Promote the Public's Health), One Health governance: theory, practice and ethics).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Theoretical Background, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Theoretical Background

The theoretical background of Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives examines Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Wood et al., 2023](#)). This section is written as a approximately 302 to 464 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Zhou et al., 2024](#)). Analytically, the section addresses synthesise the most relevant scholarship, debates, and conceptual anchors([Arnaouti et al., 2022](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Summarise the key debates on Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives; compare main viewpoints; identify the gap; lead into the next section([Loyle et al., 2021](#)). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes One Health governance: theory, practice and ethics), New Directions in Rebel Governance Research), Taking on the Corporate Determinants of Ill-health and Health Inequity: A Scoping Review of Actions to Address Excessive Corporate Power to Protect and Promote the Public's Health).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Framework Development, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Framework Development

The framework development of Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives examines Bureaucratic Patrimonialism

and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 302 to 464 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives; keep the section specific to South Sudan; connect it to the wider article. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes One Health governance: theory, practice and ethics), New Directions in Rebel Governance Research), Taking on the Corporate Determinants of Ill-health and Health Inequity: A Scoping Review of Actions to Address Excessive Corporate Power to Protect and Promote the Public's Health).

This section follows Theoretical Background and leads into Theoretical Implications, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Theoretical Implications

The theoretical implications of Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives examines Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 302 to 464 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for South Sudan; note practical relevance. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes New Directions in Rebel Governance Research), Taking on the Corporate Determinants of Ill-health and Health Inequity: A Scoping Review of Actions to Address Excessive Corporate Power to Protect and Promote the Public's Health), One Health governance: theory, practice and ethics).

This section follows Framework Development and leads into Practical Applications, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Practical Applications

The practical applications of Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives examines Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 302 to 464 words part of the article and therefore develops a

clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for South Sudan; note practical relevance. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes New Directions in Rebel Governance Research), Taking on the Corporate Determinants of Ill-health and Health Inequity: A Scoping Review of Actions to Address Excessive Corporate Power to Protect and Promote the Public's Health), One Health governance: theory, practice and ethics).

This section follows Theoretical Implications and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives examines Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 302 to 464 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for South Sudan; note practical relevance. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes One Health governance: theory, practice and ethics), New Directions in Rebel Governance Research), Taking on the Corporate Determinants of Ill-health and Health Inequity: A Scoping Review of Actions to Address Excessive Corporate Power to Protect and Promote the Public's Health).

This section follows Practical Applications and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives examines Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 302 to 464 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Bureaucratic Patrimonialism and the Collapse of Public Service Delivery in South Sudan: Multi-Level Governance Perspectives; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for South Sudan; suggest a next step. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes New Directions in Rebel Governance Research), Taking on the Corporate Determinants of Ill-health and Health Inequity: A Scoping Review of Actions to Address Excessive Corporate Power to Protect and Promote the Public's Health), One Health governance: theory, practice and ethics).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Arnaouti, M., Cahill, G., Baird, M., Mangurat, L., Harris, R., Edme, L.P.P., Joseph, M., Worlton, T.J., & Augustin, S. (2022). Medical disaster response: A critical analysis of the 2010 Haiti earthquake. *Frontiers in Public Health*
- Loyle, C.E., Cunningham, K.G., Huang, R., & Jung, D.F. (2021). *New Directions in Rebel Governance Research. Perspectives on Politics*
- Wood, B., Lacy-Nichols, J., & Sacks, G. (2023). Taking on the Corporate Determinants of Ill-health and Health Inequity: A Scoping Review of Actions to Address Excessive Corporate Power to Protect and Promote the Public's Health. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*
- Zhou, Y., Frutos, R., Bennis, I., & Wakimoto, M.D. (2024). One Health governance: theory, practice and ethics. *Science in One Health*