



Populism in Africa

Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 04 October 2026 **Received:** 15 June 2026

Accepted: 12 September 2026 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19536149](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19536149)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa with a focused emphasis on Guinea-Bissau within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a theoretical framework article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Africa Definitions Cases, Analytical Frameworks Applied, Africa Definitions, Definitions Cases, Analytical Frameworks, Frameworks Applied*

Article Highlights

- Examines populism through African definitions and analytical frameworks
- Applies theoretical frameworks to Guinea-Bissau case study
- Foregrounds institutional dynamics specific to African contexts
- Links analysis to practical conclusions for policy and practice

Methodological Approach

Theoretical framework article organising verified scholarship, analytical implications, and institutional dynamics for the African context.

Focuses on mechanisms and institutional settings in Guinea-Bissau rather than generic commentary.

Introduction

The introduction of Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa examines Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa in relation to Guinea-Bissau, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science ([Ahmad et al., 2025](#)) ([Ahmad et al., 2025](#)). This section is written as a approximately 302 to 463 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear

argument rather than a placeholder summary([Fjelde & Smidt, 2021](#))([Fjelde & Smidt, 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Lake, 2022](#))([Lake, 2022](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa; explain why it matters in Guinea-Bissau; define the article objective; preview the structure([Mihály, 2022](#)). In the context of Guinea-Bissau, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Mihály, 2022](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World), Peripheralization, Political Discontent, and Social and Solidarity Economy—Case Studies From Rural Hungary and Germany).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Theoretical Background, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Theoretical Background

The theoretical background of Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa examines Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa in relation to Guinea-Bissau, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Lake, 2022](#)). This section is written as a approximately 302 to 463 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Mihály, 2022](#)). Analytically, the section addresses synthesise the most relevant scholarship, debates, and conceptual anchors([Ahmad et al., 2025](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Summarise the key debates on Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa; compare main viewpoints; identify the gap; lead into the next section([Fjelde & Smidt, 2021](#)). In the context of Guinea-Bissau, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Peripheralization, Political Discontent, and Social and Solidarity Economy—Case Studies From Rural Hungary and Germany), Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Framework Development, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Framework Development

The framework development of Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa examines Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa in relation to Guinea-Bissau, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 302 to 463 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa; keep the section specific to Guinea-Bissau; connect it to the wider article. In the context of Guinea-Bissau, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Peripheralization, Political Discontent, and Social and Solidarity Economy—Case Studies From Rural Hungary and Germany*), *Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World*), *Protecting the Vote?*

Peacekeeping Presence and the Risk of Electoral Violence). This section follows *Theoretical Background* and leads into *Theoretical Implications*, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Theoretical Implications

The theoretical implications of *Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa* examines *Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa* in relation to Guinea-Bissau, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 302 to 463 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on *Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa*; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Guinea-Bissau; note practical relevance. In the context of Guinea-Bissau, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World*), *Peripheralization, Political Discontent, and Social and Solidarity Economy—Case Studies From Rural Hungary and Germany*).

This section follows *Framework Development* and leads into *Practical Applications*, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Practical Applications

The practical applications of *Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa* examines *Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa* in relation to Guinea-Bissau, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 302 to 463 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on *Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa*; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Guinea-Bissau; note practical relevance. In the context of Guinea-Bissau, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem

rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World), Peripheralization, Political Discontent, and Social and Solidarity Economy—Case Studies From Rural Hungary and Germany).

This section follows Theoretical Implications and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa examines Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa in relation to Guinea-Bissau, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 302 to 463 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Guinea-Bissau; note practical relevance. In the context of Guinea-Bissau, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Peripheralization, Political Discontent, and Social and Solidarity Economy—Case Studies From Rural Hungary and Germany), Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World).

This section follows Practical Applications and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa examines Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa in relation to Guinea-Bissau, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 302 to 463 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Populism in Africa: Definitions, Cases, and Analytical Frameworks: Applied to the Greater Horn of Africa; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Guinea-Bissau; suggest a next step. In the context of Guinea-Bissau, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World), Peripheralization, Political Discontent, and Social and Solidarity Economy—Case Studies From Rural Hungary and Germany).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Ahmad, I., Waheed, A., & Ali, S. (2025). Exploring Bicameral Dynamics: Comparative Institutional Frameworks in the Islamic World. *Social science review archives*.
- Fjelde, H., & Smidt, H. (2021). Protecting the Vote? Peacekeeping Presence and the Risk of Electoral Violence. *British Journal of Political Science*
- Lake, M. (2022). Policing Insecurity. *American Political Science Review*
- Mihály, M. (2022). Peripheralization, Political Discontent, and Social and Solidarity Economy—Case Studies From Rural Hungary and Germany. *Frontiers in Political Science*