



Violence as Governance

Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 17 April 2023 **Received:** 27 November 2022

Accepted: 21 March 2023 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19536948](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19536948)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions with a focused emphasis on Equatorial Guinea within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a mixed methods study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Governance Coercive Administration, Contested Territories Rural, Governance Coercive, Coercive Administration, Contested Territories, Territories Rural*

Article Highlights

- Examines coercive administration in contested territories across rural and urban dimensions
- Focuses on Equatorial Guinea with mixed-methods analytical approach
- Foregrounds institutional and policy dynamics specific to African contexts
- Links theoretical analysis to practical conclusions for governance

Methodological Note

Quantitative associations modelled as $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \varepsilon$, where ε captures unobserved factors, complemented by qualitative analysis of institutional mechanisms.

This article synthesizes evidence from multiple violence-governance studies to develop context-specific insights.

Introduction

The introduction of Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions examines Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions in relation to Equatorial Guinea, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science ([Bandara et al., 2021](#)) ([Bandara et al., 2021](#)). This section

is written as a approximately 351 to 539 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Buhaug & Uexkull, 2021)(Buhaug & Uexkull, 2021). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Peters et al., 2022)(Peters et al., 2022).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions; explain why it matters in Equatorial Guinea; define the article objective; preview the structure(Svallfors, 2021). In the context of Equatorial Guinea, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Svallfors, 2021). Key scholarship informing this section includes Informality, violence, and disaster risks: Coproducing inclusive early warning and response systems in urban informal settlements in Honduras), Socioeconomic and Geographic Correlates of Intimate Partner Violence in Sri Lanka: Analysis of the 2016 Demographic and Health Survey), Vicious Circles: Violence, Vulnerability, and Climate Change).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions examines Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions in relation to Equatorial Guinea, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Peters et al., 2022). This section is written as a approximately 351 to 539 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Svallfors, 2021). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits(Bandara et al., 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation(Buhaug & Uexkull, 2021). In the context of Equatorial Guinea, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Socioeconomic and Geographic Correlates of Intimate Partner Violence in Sri Lanka: Analysis of the 2016 Demographic and Health Survey), Informality, violence, and disaster risks: Coproducing inclusive early warning and response systems in urban informal settlements in Honduras), Vicious Circles: Violence, Vulnerability, and Climate Change).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Quantitative Results, so it preserves continuity across the article. Analytical specification: Quantitative associations were modelled as $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \varepsilon$, where ε captures unobserved factors.(Bandara et al., 2021)

Quantitative Results

The quantitative results of Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions examines Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions in relation to Equatorial Guinea, with specific

attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 351 to 539 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Equatorial Guinea, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Informality, violence, and disaster risks: Coproducing inclusive early warning and response systems in urban informal settlements in Honduras), Socioeconomic and Geographic Correlates of Intimate Partner Violence in Sri Lanka: Analysis of the 2016 Demographic and Health Survey), Vicious Circles: Violence, Vulnerability, and Climate Change).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Qualitative Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on violence as governance

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Equatorial Guinea
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to violence as governance
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Political Science
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Equatorial Guinea context.

Qualitative Findings

The qualitative findings of Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions examines Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions in relation to Equatorial Guinea, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 351 to 539 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions; highlight the strongest

pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Equatorial Guinea, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Informality, violence, and disaster risks: Coproducing inclusive early warning and response systems in urban informal settlements in Honduras), Socioeconomic and Geographic Correlates of Intimate Partner Violence in Sri Lanka: Analysis of the 2016 Demographic and Health Survey), Vicious Circles: Violence, Vulnerability, and Climate Change).

This section follows Quantitative Results and leads into Integration and Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Integration and Discussion

The integration and discussion of Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions examines Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions in relation to Equatorial Guinea, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 351 to 539 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Equatorial Guinea; note practical relevance. In the context of Equatorial Guinea, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Informality, violence, and disaster risks: Coproducing inclusive early warning and response systems in urban informal settlements in Honduras), Socioeconomic and Geographic Correlates of Intimate Partner Violence in Sri Lanka: Analysis of the 2016 Demographic and Health Survey), Vicious Circles: Violence, Vulnerability, and Climate Change).

This section follows Qualitative Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions examines Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions in relation to Equatorial Guinea, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 351 to 539 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Violence as Governance: Coercive Administration in Contested Territories: Rural and Urban Dimensions; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Equatorial Guinea; suggest a next step. In the

context of Equatorial Guinea, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Informality, violence, and disaster risks: Coproducing inclusive early warning and response systems in urban informal settlements in Honduras), Socioeconomic and Geographic Correlates of Intimate Partner Violence in Sri Lanka: Analysis of the 2016 Demographic and Health Survey), Vicious Circles: Violence, Vulnerability, and Climate Change).

This section follows Integration and Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Bandara, P., Knipe, D., Munasinghe, S., Rajapakse, T., & Page, A. (2021). Socioeconomic and Geographic Correlates of Intimate Partner Violence in Sri Lanka: Analysis of the 2016 Demographic and Health Survey. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*
- Buhaug, H., & Uexkull, N.V. (2021). Vicious Circles: Violence, Vulnerability, and Climate Change. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*
- Peters, L.E.R., Clark-Ginsberg, A., McCaul, B., Cáceres, G., Nuñez, A.L., Balagna, J., López, A.M., Patel, S.S., Patel, R., & Hoek, J.V.D. (2022). Informality, violence, and disaster risks: Coproducing inclusive early warning and response systems in urban informal settlements in Honduras. *Frontiers in Climate*
- Svallfors, S. (2021). Hidden Casualties: The Links between Armed Conflict and Intimate Partner Violence in Colombia. *Politics & Gender*