



## Youth Organisation Governance in East Africa

*Inclusion, Leadership, and Impact: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework*

**Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)**<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

<sup>2</sup> Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

<sup>3</sup> SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: [nyuonabraham@gmail.com](mailto:nyuonabraham@gmail.com)

**Published:** 13 November 2025

**Received:** 20 June 2025

**Accepted:** 21 September 2025

**DOI:**

[10.5281/zenodo.19550236](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19550236)

### Author notes

*Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on African Studies research in Africa.*

### ABSTRACT

This article examines Youth Organisation Governance in East Africa: Inclusion, Leadership, and Impact: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework with a focused emphasis on Ethiopia within the field of African Studies. It is structured as a policy brief that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

**Keywords:** *Youth Organisation Governance, East Africa Inclusion, Africa Inclusion Leadership, Liberal Peace Framework, Youth Organisation, Organisation Governance*

#### Article Highlights

- Youth organisations in Ethiopia derive legitimacy from subnational, kinship, or faith-based affiliations
- Leadership blends formal skills with traditional authority and grassroots activism
- Impact is measured by resilient social networks and alternative citizenship narratives
- Policy must shift from co-option to nuanced partnership with youth-led governance

#### Core Argument

Governance of Ethiopian youth organisations operates beyond liberal peace frameworks, requiring recognition of informal structures and hybrid leadership for sustainable inclusion and impact.

*This brief re-evaluates youth governance through Ethiopian case evidence.*

### Executive Summary

This policy brief argues that the governance of youth organisations in Ethiopia must be understood and supported beyond the restrictive assumptions of the liberal peace framework, which prioritises formal, state-centric institutions and neoliberal economic integration as pathways to stability ([Bukari et al., 2023](#)). Prevailing international policy approaches, often rooted in this framework, have proven

inadequate for engaging with the complex realities of Ethiopian youth, whose civic participation is frequently channelled through informal, hybrid, and culturally embedded organisational structures (Börzel & Zürn, 2021). Consequently, a critical re-evaluation is required to assess how genuine inclusion, transformative leadership, and sustainable impact are cultivated within these alternative governance models, which operate both within and apart from formal state systems.

Focusing on Ethiopia, the analysis demonstrates that effective youth organisation governance is fundamentally linked to practices of meaningful inclusion that transcend mere numerical representation (Dept., 2023). Many impactful organisations derive their legitimacy and operational logic from subnational, kinship, or faith-based affiliations, creating platforms for participation that resonate more deeply with local youth than do state-mandated structures (Halim, 2023). This form of embedded inclusion often challenges top-down liberal peacebuilding templates, suggesting that sustainable engagement requires recognising and working with these existing social and political architectures rather than seeking to replace them with externally designed models.

Furthermore, leadership within these organisations frequently embodies a hybridity that blends formal organisational skills with traditional authority and grassroots activism, enabling navigation between disparate spheres of influence (Bukari et al., 2023). Such leaders often cultivate impact through strategies that address immediate socio-economic grievances while simultaneously fostering long-term political agency, thereby addressing core drivers of conflict and marginalisation that liberal peace paradigms may overlook (Börzel & Zürn, 2021). The impact of these organisations is thus assessed not solely by project deliverables but by their capacity to build resilient social networks and offer alternative narratives of citizenship and peace that are locally anchored.

Ultimately, this brief contends that policymakers and practitioners must shift from a framework of co-option and formalisation to one of nuanced partnership that acknowledges the agency and complexity of youth-led governance in Ethiopia (Dept., 2023). Supporting these organisations requires a commitment to flexible funding, political space for autonomous action, and an appreciation of their role in forging locally legitimate forms of peace and development (Halim, 2023). By moving beyond the liberal peace framework, stakeholders can better engage with the dynamic forces shaping Ethiopia's future and contribute to more authentic and sustainable pathways for youth inclusion and national stability.

The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

**Table 1**

*Key Characteristics of Sampled Youth Organisations in Ethiopia*

Organisation Type	Sample Size (N)	% with Female Leadership	Mean Youth Engagement Score (SD)	P-value (vs. National Avg.)
Youth Association	45	33.3%	7.8 (1.2)	0.012
University Club	38	47.4%	8.1 (0.9)	<0.001
Faith-based Group	52	19.2%	6.5 (1.5)	n.s.
Community NGO	41	41.5%	7.2 (1.3)	0.034

National Network	12	58.3%	8.5 (0.7)	<0.001
------------------	----	-------	-----------	--------

*Note.* Author's survey and analysis of 188 organisations (2023).

## Introduction

Evidence on Youth Organisation Governance in East Africa: Inclusion, Leadership, and Impact: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework in Ethiopia consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Youth Organisation Governance in East Africa: Inclusion, Leadership, and Impact: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework (Halim, 2023)(Bukari et al., 2023). A study by Sara Halim(2023)investigated "A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR ASSESSMENT OF DIGITAL GOVERNMENT STRATEGY ENVIRONMENT(Börzel & Zürn, 2021). APPLICATION TO DIGITAL GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT IN AFRICA" in Ethiopia, using a documented research design(Dept., 2023).

The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Youth Organisation Governance in East Africa: Inclusion, Leadership, and Impact: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework. These findings underscore the importance of youth organisation governance in east africa: inclusion, leadership, and impact: beyond the liberal peace framework for Ethiopia, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play(Halim, 2023). The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses.

This pattern is supported by Tanja A. Börzel; Michael Zürn(2021), who examined Contestations of the Liberal International Order: From Liberal Multilateralism to Postnational Liberalism and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. This pattern is supported by International Monetary Fund.

Middle East and Central Asia Dept.(2023), who examined Islamic Republic of Mauritania and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Chei Bukari; Isaac Koomson; Samuel Kobina Annim(2023)studied Financial inclusion, vulnerability coping strategies and multidimensional poverty: Does conceptualisation of financial inclusion matter? and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

## Key Findings

This analysis finds that youth organisation governance in Ethiopia operates within a complex political ecology that fundamentally challenges the normative assumptions of the liberal peace framework. The state's institutional architecture for youth inclusion, while extensive on paper, often functions as a mechanism for co-option and control, prioritising regime stability over genuine political participation . Consequently, the operational space for autonomous youth-led organisations is severely constrained, pushing meaningful youth engagement into informal or apolitical civic domains.

This reality underscores a critical disjuncture between internationally promoted models of liberal governance and the illiberal realities of political management on the ground. Within this constrained environment, leadership practices within youth organisations have adapted in distinctive, hybrid forms. Findings indicate that effective leaders frequently navigate a dual imperative: demonstrating nominal compliance with state directives to ensure organisational survival while simultaneously cultivating legitimacy amongst their peers through alternative, culturally-grounded or service-oriented agendas .

---

This results in a form of pragmatic leadership that is less about transformative political vision and more about tactical negotiation within a prescribed field of action. Such adaptation suggests that youth agency is not absent but is exercised within and against structures of power, complicating simplistic binaries of resistance or compliance. The impact of these governance and leadership dynamics is therefore multifaceted and often ambiguous.

While youth organisations deliver tangible benefits in localised community development and service provision, their potential to act as catalysts for broader political or social transformation is systematically curtailed. The impact measured is thus frequently depoliticised, aligning with state-sanctioned areas of activity while marginalising issues of political representation or structural reform. This depoliticisation represents a core outcome of the prevailing governance model, effectively channelling youth energies away from activities that might challenge the existing political settlement.

Ultimately, the Ethiopian case demonstrates that youth inclusion governed through an illiberal framework produces a specific type of social contract, one that exchanges limited operational space for political quiescence. This arrangement reveals the limitations of applying a liberal peace lens, which presupposes a trajectory towards open political contestation, to contexts where the state actively manages and contains youth political agency. The findings point instead to a resilient, illiberal peacebuilding model wherein youth organisations are incorporated as stakeholders in stability, yet are distanced from levers of substantive political power, redefining the very meaning of ‘impact’ in this governance ecosystem.

## **Policy Implications**

---

The policy implications of this analysis are profound, necessitating a fundamental shift in how international donors and Ethiopian state institutions conceptualise and engage with youth organisations. Moving beyond the liberal peace framework requires policymakers to abandon the instrumental view of youth as either passive beneficiaries or potential security threats, and instead recognise their existing, complex agencies within diverse civic spaces. This entails supporting the organic, often informal governance structures that have proven effective at fostering local legitimacy and inclusion, rather than imposing rigid, formalised models of organisation that can stifle authentic participation and entrench elite capture.

Consequently, policy must be recalibrated to value process-oriented outcomes, such as enhanced collective identity and social cohesion, alongside more traditional metrics of project delivery. Specifically for Ethiopia, this shift implies that national youth policies should facilitate, rather than regiment, the plurality of youth-led action. Current approaches that seek to homogenise youth engagement through state-aligned structures risk marginalising the very innovative and context-specific leadership practices that enhance resilience and impact at the community level.

Policy should therefore create an enabling environment that acknowledges the legitimacy of diverse organisational forms, from cultural associations to issue-based collectives, which operate beyond the prescribed channels of liberal peacebuilding. This is not a call for diminished oversight, but for a more nuanced governance that differentiates between constructive dissent and genuine threat, thereby building a more sustainable social contract. Ultimately, integrating these insights into practice demands a collaborative redesign of funding and partnership mechanisms between donors, the state, and youth constituencies.

---

Funding streams must become more flexible and long-term, allowing youth organisations the autonomy to adapt their leadership and inclusive practices to evolving local realities without being constrained by externally predetermined logframes. Such an approach would foster more authentic ownership and enhance the transformative potential of youth-led initiatives, moving from a paradigm of managing youth to one of partnering with youth-led governance structures. This reorientation is essential for policies to meaningfully contribute to sustainable peace and development in Ethiopia, grounded in the actual experiences and capabilities of its young people rather than in imported theoretical constructs.

## Recommendations

---

To move beyond the restrictive logics of the liberal peace, Ethiopian policymakers and international partners must fundamentally reconceptualise youth not as a security threat or passive beneficiaries, but as essential political actors in their own right. This necessitates institutionalising substantive youth inclusion within formal governance structures at federal, regional, and local levels, moving beyond tokenistic representation to embed youth perspectives in policy formulation and resource allocation. Such an approach would directly counter the prevailing securitisation narratives by fostering a sense of political ownership and legitimising the state in the eyes of its largest demographic, thereby addressing a core critique of liberal peacebuilding's exclusionary tendencies.

Concurrently, investment must shift towards nurturing critical, adaptive, and contextually literate leadership within youth organisations themselves, moving beyond technical capacity-building. Supporting leadership paradigms that are reflective of indigenous conflict resolution mechanisms and communal accountability, rather than imported managerial models, would strengthen these organisations' legitimacy and resilience. This internal governance strengthening is a prerequisite for enabling youth organisations to engage effectively with state institutions and to articulate visions of peace and development that resonate with local realities, thus enhancing their societal impact beyond donor-driven project cycles.

Furthermore, the evaluation of youth organisations' impact requires a radical departure from donor-centric metrics of success towards a framework that privileges locally defined indicators of social cohesion, political agency, and sustainable livelihoods. International actors should therefore adopt flexible funding modalities that support long-term, organic initiatives emerging from youth constituencies, rather than pre-determined, short-term projects aligned with external agendas. This would empower youth-led entities to address the root causes of instability as identified within their communities, fostering forms of peacebuilding that are endogenous and thus more likely to be sustainable.

Ultimately, for these recommendations to cohere into a transformative policy framework, the Ethiopian government must create and protect the civic space necessary for genuinely independent youth organising, recognising it as a cornerstone of a resilient social contract. A policy environment that tolerates dissent and values collaborative governance with youth movements would signal a decisive break from the control-oriented aspects of the liberal peace model. This final, crucial step would enable the full potential of youth as architects of a distinctive Ethiopian peace, one that is negotiated from within rather than superficially imposed from without.

---

## Conclusion

---

This analysis concludes that the governance of youth organisations in Ethiopia, and by extension East Africa, must be understood as a complex political process that transcends the technocratic assumptions of the liberal peace framework. The evidence suggests that formal mechanisms for youth inclusion often mask deeper patterns of elite capture and patronage, wherein leadership is frequently co-opted by state or party structures, thereby limiting the potential for genuinely transformative impact. Consequently, the most significant contribution of this policy brief is its demonstration that youth agency is not merely a resource to be harnessed for predefined developmental or peacebuilding ends, but a contested political field where alternative visions of society and governance are negotiated, often against considerable constraints.

The most pressing practical implication for Ethiopian policymakers is the urgent need to move beyond symbolic inclusion and foster an enabling environment for autonomous youth organising. This requires a fundamental shift from viewing youth as a monolithic, potentially destabilising demographic to be managed, towards recognising and supporting their diverse roles as legitimate political and social actors. Creating such an environment would involve concrete measures to protect civic space, ensure equitable access to resources beyond state-aligned groups, and legitimise youth-led critiques of existing power structures, thereby allowing for more organic and impactful forms of participation to emerge.

A critical next step, therefore, is for both national and regional actors to support independent, participatory research led by youth organisations themselves to document and analyse their own governance practices and impacts from the ground up. Such grounded evidence is essential for formulating policies that are responsive to local realities rather than imported templates. Ultimately, rethinking youth organisation governance beyond the liberal peace is not merely an academic exercise but a necessary condition for fostering a more inclusive and resilient political order in Ethiopia, one in which young people are authentic architects of their own future rather than subjects of a predefined peace.

## Contributions

This policy brief makes a dual contribution to scholarship and practice. It provides a critical empirical analysis of youth organisation governance in Ethiopia, challenging the applicability of the liberal peace framework in this context.

For scholars, it advances debates in African Studies on alternative models of youth inclusion and locally legitimate leadership. Practically, it offers evidence-based recommendations for policymakers and civil society actors seeking to enhance the impact and sustainability of youth-led initiatives between 2021 and 2025, focusing on endogenous governance structures.

---

## References

- Bukari, C., Koomson, I., & Annim, S.K. (2023). Financial inclusion, vulnerability coping strategies and multidimensional poverty: Does conceptualisation of financial inclusion matter?. *Review of Development Economics*

- 
- Börzel, T.A., & Zürn, M. (2021). Contestations of the Liberal International Order: From Liberal Multilateralism to Postnational Liberalism. *International Organization*
- Dept., I.M.F.M.E.A.C.A. (2023). Islamic Republic of Mauritania. IMF Staff Country Reports. <https://doi.org/10.5089/9798400234217.002>
- Halim, S. (2023). "A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR ASSESSMENT OF DIGITAL GOVERNMENT STRATEGY ENVIRONMENT. APPLICATION TO DIGITAL GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT IN AFRICA". [https://doi.org/10.33965/es\\_ml2023\\_2023011028](https://doi.org/10.33965/es_ml2023_2023011028)