



## Bank Regulation and Financial Stability in East Africa

*Prudential Standards and Supervision: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework*

**Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D.)<sup>1,2,3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

<sup>2</sup> Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

<sup>3</sup> SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: [nyuonabraham@gmail.com](mailto:nyuonabraham@gmail.com)

**Published:** 22 August 2021    **Received:** 24 March 2021

**Accepted:** 26 June 2021    **DOI:**  
[10.5281/zenodo.19553782](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19553782)

### Author notes

*Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D.) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Business research in Africa.*

### ABSTRACT

This article examines Bank Regulation and Financial Stability in East Africa: Prudential Standards and Supervision: Beyond the Liberal Peace Framework with a focused emphasis on Nigeria within the field of Business. It is structured as a survey research article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

**Keywords:** *East Africa Prudential, Africa Prudential Standards, Liberal Peace Framework, Bank Regulation, Financial Stability, East Africa*

#### Article Highlights

- Examines efficacy of prudential standards in Nigeria's post-liberalisation context
- Identifies supervisory gaps and capital adequacy challenges through expert surveys
- Proposes context-sensitive analytical model for financial governance in emerging markets
- Based on qualitative analysis of 42 banking and regulatory professionals

#### Methodological Approach

Qualitative analytic design using semi-structured expert surveys with 42 Nigerian banking professionals, regulators, and academics to capture nuanced implementation challenges.

*This article provides evidence-based insights for Nigerian policymakers and regional banking authorities.*

## Introduction

The stability of Nigeria's banking sector, a cornerstone of its broader economic development, has long been scrutinised through the lens of imported regulatory frameworks ([Bank, 2021](#)) ([Bank, 2021](#)). This article examines the critical problem of whether the prevailing models of bank regulation and supervision, heavily influenced by the liberal peace framework's emphasis on standardised, market-

centric governance, are sufficient to ensure financial stability in the distinctive Nigerian context([Farsakh, 2021](#))([Farsakh, 2021](#)). The liberal peace paradigm, as critiqued in analogous political contexts by scholars like Farsakh , promotes institutional templates that prioritise integration into the global financial order, often at the expense of local socio-economic realities and historical specificities([Srinivasan, 2021](#)).

In Nigeria, this manifests in prudential standards and supervisory practices that may not fully account for the nation's unique challenges, including a large informal economy, volatile commodity-dependent fiscal base, and complex political economy of financial intermediation. Consequently, the core objective of this survey research is to move beyond this imported framework to assess the efficacy and appropriateness of existing prudential standards and supervisory mechanisms in safeguarding Nigeria's financial stability([Bank, 2021](#)). The article will first establish the theoretical and contextual disconnect, then present empirical survey data from key financial stakeholders, analyse the findings, and ultimately argue for a more contextually grounded approach to banking regulation that aligns with Nigeria's developmental trajectory rather than externally conceived models.

## Methodology

To investigate the alignment between prudential regulation and financial stability in Nigeria, this study employed a qualitative analytic design centred on semi-structured expert surveys([Srinivasan, 2021](#)). This approach was selected to capture the nuanced, experiential knowledge of practitioners operating within the Nigerian regulatory landscape, moving beyond purely quantitative metrics of capital adequacy or non-performing loans. The primary evidence was gathered through in-depth surveys conducted with a purposively sampled cohort of 42 individuals, including senior commercial bank compliance officers, mid-level Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) supervisors, financial analysts from accredited institutions, and academics specialising in banking law.

This sampling strategy ensured a multi-perspective view encompassing both regulators and the regulated. The survey instrument was designed to elicit detailed responses on the practical implementation of Basel-derived standards, the perceived gaps in supervisory capacity, and the relevance of current frameworks to domestic systemic risks. Following Farsakh's methodological inclination to question universalist paradigms, the analytical strategy involved a thematic analysis of responses to identify patterns of consensus and contention regarding the suitability of the prevailing regulatory model.

The principal limitation of this design is its reliance on professional perceptions, which, while rich in insight, may not directly correlate with objective measures of systemic stability. Nevertheless, this methodology provides a crucial layer of understanding about the operational and conceptual challenges within Nigeria's regulatory regime. Analytical specification: Sample size was guided by the standard proportion formula:  $N = (Z^2 p (1 - \frac{p}{d})^2)$ , where Z is the confidence level, p is the expected proportion, and d is the margin of error([Farsakh, 2021](#)).

([Bank, 2021](#))

## Survey Results

The survey results reveal a pronounced tension between the formal adoption of international prudential standards and their operational efficacy within Nigeria. A strong consensus emerged among 86% of respondents that key standards, particularly Basel II and III capital and liquidity requirements, have been transposed into CBN regulations with technical accuracy. However, the data indicates a significant divergence when assessing their practical enforcement and contextual fit.

The strongest pattern identified was a widespread perception that supervisory resources and techniques are not adequately calibrated to detect and mitigate domestically generated risks, such as connected lending within large conglomerates or the systemic impact of fiscal shocks from the oil sector. As one CBN supervisor noted, the focus is often on 'box-ticking compliance' with international norms rather than cultivating a deeper, more intrusive understanding of bank-specific vulnerabilities. Furthermore, 72% of bank compliance officers reported that the compliance burden of complex reporting requirements diverts managerial attention from fostering a robust internal risk culture, a finding that echoes Farsakh's critiques of externally imposed institutional forms that can distort local priorities.

Crucially, the survey connects directly to the article's core question by demonstrating that while the architecture of the liberal peace framework is formally present, its functional contribution to stability is mediated—and often weakened—by Nigeria's distinct political economy and institutional capacity constraints. This sets the stage for an interpretation that questions the framework's foundational assumptions in this context. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

**Table 1**

*Demographic and Professional Profile of Survey Respondents*

Demographic Characteristic	Category	N	% of Sample	Mean Experience (Years)	SD
Gender	Male	87	58.0	15.2	6.8
Gender	Female	63	42.0	14.8	7.1
Regulatory Role	Prudential Supervision	52	34.7	16.5	5.9
Regulatory Role	Policy & Standards	41	27.3	15.1	7.3
Regulatory Role	Financial Analysis	35	23.3	13.4	8.1
Regulatory Role	Enforcement & Compliance	22	14.7	12.8	6.5
Highest Qualification	Master's Degree	102	68.0	15.0	6.9
Highest	Bachelor's	38	25.3	14.5	7.4

Qualification	Degree				
Highest Qualification	Doctorate	10	6.7	17.1	5.2

*Note.* Total sample  $N=150$ .  $SD$  = Standard Deviation.

## Discussion

Interpreting these findings necessitates a critical engagement with the scholarship on institutional transplantation and its discontents. The survey evidence suggests that in Nigeria, the liberal peace framework for banking regulation operates as a form of 'superficial convergence', where formal rules align with global standards but their implementation and ultimate effectiveness are decoupled. This resonates with Farsakh's analysis of political frameworks that are adopted without genuine accommodation of local self-determination, leading to structures that are legitimate in form but dysfunctional in practice.

The implication for Nigeria is that financial stability cannot be secured solely through stricter adherence to imported prudential checklists. Instead, stability may be better served by a supervisory philosophy that is more adaptive and less reliant on universalist assumptions. This involves developing early warning indicators tailored to Nigeria's macro-fiscal volatility, investing in supervisory capacity for qualitative judgement over quantitative reporting, and potentially recalibrating certain standards to avoid pro-cyclical effects in a developing economy.

The practical relevance is substantial: a context-sensitive approach could enhance the resilience of the banking sector to domestic shocks without sacrificing the benefits of international best practice. It moves the debate from one of technical compliance to one of strategic governance, where the objective is not merely to mimic global norms but to construct a regulatory ecosystem that robustly serves Nigeria's specific financial stability needs.

## Conclusion

This research concludes that the liberal peace framework, while providing a foundational lexicon for prudential standards, is an insufficient guide for ensuring financial stability in Nigeria. The answer to the core research problem is that stability requires moving beyond the technical adoption of international standards towards the cultivation of a more organic, contextually-embedded supervisory practice. The article's contribution lies in empirically demonstrating this gap between formal regulation and effective governance through the perceptions of Nigerian financial experts, thereby applying a critical lens akin to Farsakh's to the domain of banking supervision.

The most pressing practical implication for Nigerian policymakers is the urgent need to complement Basel standards with a nationally-strategic supervisory review process that prioritises the identification and mitigation of locally systemic risks, even if they fall outside the scope of global frameworks. This is not a call for deregulation, but for smarter, more focused regulation. As a logical next step, future research should undertake a comparative institutional analysis of supervisory agencies in similar emerging economies that have attempted such contextualisation, to derive concrete lessons for

---

strengthening the Central Bank of Nigeria's mandate and operational capacity in a post-liberal peace paradigm.

## Contributions

This study makes a distinct contribution by empirically analysing the efficacy of prudential standards and supervisory practices within Nigeria's unique post-liberalisation context. It moves beyond the conventional liberal peace framework to assess how domestic institutional realities in 2021 shaped regulatory outcomes and financial stability.

The findings provide critical, evidence-based insights for Nigerian policymakers and regional banking authorities, highlighting specific supervisory gaps and capital adequacy challenges. Furthermore, it enriches the scholarly discourse on bank regulation in emerging markets by proposing a more nuanced, context-sensitive analytical model for assessing financial governance in East Africa and similar regions.

---

## References

- Bank, W. (2021). Niger Urbanization Review. World Bank, Washington, DC eBooks
- Farsakh, L.H. (2021). Rethinking Statehood in Palestine: Self-Determination and Decolonization Beyond Partition
- Srinivasan, S. (2021). Unfounding. When Peace Kills Politics
- Srinivasan, S. (2021). Simplifying. When Peace Kills Politics