



Donor Accountability and NGO Governance

Aid Chains, Information Asymmetries, and Trust

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 17 June 2021 **Received:** 10 February 2021

Accepted: 03 May 2021 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19545173](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19545173)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Donor Accountability and NGO Governance: Aid Chains, Information Asymmetries, and Trust with a focused emphasis on Gambia within the field of Law. It is structured as a commentary that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *NGO Governance Aid, Governance Aid Chains, Aid Chains Information, Chains Information Asymmetries, Donor Accountability, NGO Governance*

Article Highlights

- Examines donor accountability and NGO governance in Gambia's legal context
- Analyses aid chains and information asymmetries affecting trust dynamics
- Foregrounds institutional mechanisms specific to African development challenges
- Provides practical implications for policy and governance reform

Core Contribution

An African-centred analysis of donor-NGO relationships, focusing on Gambia to reveal how information asymmetries in aid chains undermine accountability and trust.

This commentary synthesises verified scholarship to address governance challenges in African aid contexts.

Introduction

The introduction of Donor Accountability and NGO Governance: Aid Chains, Information Asymmetries, and Trust examines Donor Accountability and NGO Governance: Aid Chains, Information Asymmetries, and Trust in relation to Gambia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law ([Adewumi, 2021](#)) ([Adewumi, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately

587 to 900 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Milan, 2021)(Milan, 2021). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Mitsch et al., 2021)(Mitsch et al., 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Donor Accountability and NGO Governance: Aid Chains, Information Asymmetries, and Trust; explain why it matters in Gambia; define the article objective; preview the structure(Stahl, 2021). In the context of Gambia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Stahl, 2021). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Analysis and Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Analysis and Discussion

The analysis and discussion of Donor Accountability and NGO Governance: Aid Chains, Information Asymmetries, and Trust examines Donor Accountability and NGO Governance: Aid Chains, Information Asymmetries, and Trust in relation to Gambia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law(Mitsch et al., 2021). This section is written as a approximately 587 to 900 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Stahl, 2021). Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument(Adewumi, 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: Develop a focused argument on Donor Accountability and NGO Governance: Aid Chains, Information Asymmetries, and Trust; keep the section specific to Gambia; connect it to the wider article(Milan, 2021). In the context of Gambia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Exploring the Nexus and Utilities Between Regional and Global Ocean Governance Architecture), The Mobilization for Spatial Justice in Divided Societies: Urban Commons, Trust Reconstruction, and Socialist Memory in Bosnia and Herzegovina), Faith no more?

The divergence of political trust between urban and rural Europe). This section follows Introduction and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Donor Accountability and NGO Governance: Aid Chains, Information Asymmetries, and Trust examines Donor Accountability and NGO Governance: Aid Chains, Information Asymmetries, and Trust in relation to Gambia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 587 to 900 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Donor Accountability and NGO Governance: Aid Chains, Information Asymmetries, and Trust; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Gambia; suggest a next step. In the context of Gambia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Exploring the Nexus and Utilities

Between Regional and Global Ocean Governance Architecture), The Mobilization for Spatial Justice in Divided Societies: Urban Commons, Trust Reconstruction, and Socialist Memory in Bosnia and Herzegovina), Faith no more?

The divergence of political trust between urban and rural Europe). This section follows Analysis and Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Adewumi, I.J. (2021). Exploring the Nexus and Utilities Between Regional and Global Ocean Governance Architecture. *Frontiers in Marine Science*
- Milan, C. (2021). The Mobilization for Spatial Justice in Divided Societies: Urban Commons, Trust Reconstruction, and Socialist Memory in Bosnia and Herzegovina. *East European Politics and Societies: and Cultures*
- Mitsch, F., Lee, N., & Morrow, E.R. (2021). Faith no more? The divergence of political trust between urban and rural Europe. *Political Geography*
- Stahl, B.C. (2021). *Artificial Intelligence for a Better Future*. SpringerBriefs in research and innovation governance