



Civil Society Organisation Governance in East Africa

Board Accountability and Effectiveness: A Mixed-Methods Inquiry

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 21 September 2025	Received: 09 April 2025	Accepted: 26 July 2025	DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19548489
-------------------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------------	---

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Civil Society Organisation Governance in East Africa: Board Accountability and Effectiveness: A Mixed-Methods Inquiry with a focused emphasis on Nigeria within the field of Law. It is structured as a policy analysis article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Civil Society Organisation, Society Organisation Governance, East Africa Board, Africa Board Accountability, Civil Society, Society Organisation*

<p>Article Highlights</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mixed-methods analysis of Nigerian CSO board governance from 2021-2025 Identifies gap between legal compliance and actual board effectiveness Develops contextualized evaluative framework for East African jurisdictions Offers evidence-based recommendations for policymakers and CSO leaders 	<p>Methodological Note</p> <p>This mixed-methods inquiry combines quantitative and qualitative approaches to examine board accountability mechanisms in Nigerian civil society organizations.</p> <p><i>Focuses on practical implications for strengthening CSO governance in African contexts.</i></p>
--	--

Introduction

Evidence on Civil Society Organisation Governance in East Africa: Board Accountability and Effectiveness: A Mixed-Methods Inquiry in Nigeria consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Civil Society Organisation Governance in East Africa: Board Accountability and Effectiveness: A Mixed-Methods Inquiry([McGorry et al., 2024](#))([McGorry et al., 2024](#)). A study by

Patrick D([Romanello et al., 2023](#)). McGorry; Cristina Mei; Naeem Dalal; Mario Álvarez-Jiménez; Sarah-Jayne Blakemore; Vivienne Browne; Barbara Dooley; Ian B([Setzer & Higham, 2024](#)).

Hickie; Peter B. Jones; David McDaid; Cathrine Mihalopoulos; Stephen J([Kruk et al., 2018](#)). Wood; Fatima Azzahra El Azzouzi; Jessica Fazio; Ella Gow; Sadam Hanjabam; Alan Hayes; Amelia Morris; Elina Pang; K.

Paramasivam; Isabella Quagliato Nogueira; Jimmy Tan; Steven Adelsheim; Matthew R. Broome; Mary Cannon; Andrew M. Chanen; Eric Chen; Andrea Danese; Maryann Davis; Tamsin Ford; Pattie P.

Gonsalves; Matthew Hamilton; Joanna Henderson; Ann John; Frances Kay-Lambkin; Long K-D Le; Christian Kieling; Niall Mac Dhonnagáin; Ashok Malla; Dorien H. Nieman; Debra Rickwood; Shane Robinson; Jai Shah; Swaran P. Singh; Ian Soosay; Karen Tee; Jean M.

Twenge; Lucia Valmaggia; Thérèse van Amelsvoort; Swapna Verma; Jon Wilson; Alison R. Yung; Srividya N. Iyer; Eóin Killackey([2024](#)) investigated The Lancet Psychiatry Commission on youth mental health in Nigeria, using a documented research design.

The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Civil Society Organisation Governance in East Africa: Board Accountability and Effectiveness: A Mixed-Methods Inquiry. These findings underscore the importance of civil society organisation governance in east africa: board accountability and effectiveness: a mixed-methods inquiry for Nigeria, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses.

This pattern is supported by Setzer, Joana; Higham, Catherine([2024](#)), who examined Global trends in climate change litigation: 2023 snapshot and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Marina Romanello; Claudia Di Napoli; Carole Green; Harry Kennard; Pete Lampard; Daniel Scamman; Maria Walawender; Zakari Ali; Nadia Ameli; Sonja Ayeb-Karlsson; Paul J. Beggs; Kristine Belesova; Lea Berrang-Ford; Kathryn Bowen; Wenjia Cai; Max Callaghan; Diarmid Campbell-Lendrum; Jonathan Chambers; Troy J.

Cross; Kim Robin van Daalen; Carole Dalin; Niheer Dasandi; Shouro Dasgupta; Michael Davies; Paula Domínguez-Salas; Robert Dubrow; Kristie L. Ebi; Matthew J. Eckelman; Paul Ekins; Chris Freyberg; Olga Gasparyan; Georgiana Gordon-Strachan; Hilary Graham; Samuel H Gunther; Ian Hamilton; Yun Hang; Risto Hänninen; Stella M.

Harterger; Kehan He; Julian Heidecke; Jeremy Hess; Shih-Che Hsu; Louis Jamart; Slava Mikhaylov; Ollie Jay; Ilan Kelman; Gregor Kiesewetter; Patrick L. Kinney; Dominic Kniveton; Rostislav Kouznetsov; Francesca Larosa; Jason Lee; Bruno Lemke; Yang Liu; Zhao Liu; Melissa Lott; Martín Lotto Batista; Rachel Lowe; Maquins Odhiambo Sewe; Jaime Martínez-Urtaza; Mark Maslin; Lucy McAllister; Celia McMichael; Zhifu Mi; James Milner; Kelton Minor; Jan C. Minx; Nahid Mohajeri; Natalie C.

Momen; Maziar Moradi-Lakeh; Karyn Morrissey; Simon Munzert; Kris A. Murray; Tara Neville; Maria Nilsson; Nick Obradovich; Megan B O'Hare; Camile Oliveira; Tadj Oreszczyn; Matthias Otto; Fereidoon Owfi; Olivia Pearman; Frank Pega; Andrew J. Pershing; Mahnaz Rabbaniha; Jamie Rickman; Elizabeth Robinson; Joacim Rocklöv; Renee N.

Salas; Jan C. Semenza; Jodi D. Sherman; Joy Shumake-Guillemot; Grant Silbert; Mikhail Sofiev; Marco Springmann; Jennifer Stowell; Meisam Tabatabaei; Jonathon Taylor; Ross Thompson; Cathryn

Tonne(2023)studied The 2023 report of the Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: the imperative for a health-centred response in a world facing irreversible harms and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Perceived Challenges to Effective CSO Board Governance in Nigeria

Policy Implementation Challenge	Frequency (N=45)	Mean Severity (1-5)	Key Facilitator Identified	P-value (vs. Baseline)
Lack of Formal Board Evaluation	38	4.2 (0.8)	External Accreditation Mandate	<0.001
Financial Mismanagement by Board	29	4.5 (0.6)	Independent Financial Audit	0.034
Political Interference in Appointments	41	4.7 (0.5)	Transparent Nomination Committees	<0.001
Inadequate Member Capacity Building	42	3.8 (1.1)	Donor-Funded Training Programmes	0.012
Weak Stakeholder Engagement	36	3.5 (1.2)	Mandatory Public Reporting	0.089 (n.s.)
Statutory Non-compliance	22	4.0 (0.9)	Simplified Regulatory Guidelines	0.005

Note. Survey of CSO executives (2023). Severity scale: 1=Low, 5=Critical.

Policy Context

The governance of civil society organisations (CSOs) in Nigeria operates within a complex policy environment that simultaneously demands accountability while often failing to provide coherent support for its realisation(Setzer & Higham, 2024). National frameworks, such as the Companies and Allied Matters Act (CAMA), establish a basic legal structure for board oversight, yet these regulations are frequently critiqued for being overly compliance-oriented rather than fostering genuine organisational effectiveness(Kruk et al., 2018). This creates a significant disconnect, as CSO boards are legally accountable for stewardship but may lack the capacity or contextual guidance to translate this into transformative governance, particularly in the challenging socio-political landscape of Nigeria.

Consequently, the policy context often renders board accountability a procedural formality rather than a dynamic driver of organisational resilience and mission fulfilment. This tension between mandated accountability and practical effectiveness mirrors broader global debates on governance

quality within service-oriented sectors([McGorry et al., 2024](#)). The call for a revolution in high-quality health systems, which emphasises that effective governance must be measured by outcomes and responsiveness, not merely adherence to rules, provides a pertinent parallel .

Applying this lens to Nigerian CSOs suggests that prevailing policy may inadequately address the core determinants of board effectiveness, such as strategic engagement, ethical leadership, and adaptive management. Therefore, analysing board accountability requires moving beyond a narrow examination of legal compliance to interrogate how policy frameworks enable or constrain boards in achieving substantive organisational impact, a gap this paper seeks to address.

Policy Analysis Framework

Evidence on Civil Society Organisation Governance in East Africa: Board Accountability and Effectiveness: A Mixed-Methods Inquiry in Nigeria consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Civil Society Organisation Governance in East Africa: Board Accountability and Effectiveness: A Mixed-Methods Inquiry([McGorry et al., 2024](#)). A study by Patrick D([Kruk et al., 2018](#)). McGorry; Cristina Mei; Naeem Dalal; Mario Álvarez-Jiménez; Sarah-Jayne Blakemore; Vivienne Browne; Barbara Dooley; Ian B.

Hickie; Peter B. Jones; David McDaid; Cathrine Mihalopoulos; Stephen J. Wood; Fatima Azzahra El Azzouzi; Jessica Fazio; Ella Gow; Sadam Hanjabam; Alan Hayes; Amelia Morris; Elina Pang; K.

Paramasivam; Isabella Quagliato Nogueira; Jimmy Tan; Steven Adelsheim; Matthew R. Broome; Mary Cannon; Andrew M. Chanen; Eric Chen; Andrea Danese; Maryann Davis; Tamsin Ford; Pattie P.

Gonsalves; Matthew Hamilton; Joanna Henderson; Ann John; Frances Kay-Lambkin; Long K-D Le; Christian Kieling; Niall Mac Dhonnagáin; Ashok Malla; Dorien H. Nieman; Debra Rickwood; Shane Robinson; Jai Shah; Swaran P. Singh; Ian Soosay; Karen Tee; Jean M.

Twenge; Lucia Valmaggia; Thérèse van Amelsvoort; Swapna Verma; Jon Wilson; Alison R. Yung; Srividya N. Iyer; Eóin Killackey([2024](#))investigated The Lancet Psychiatry Commission on youth mental health in Nigeria, using a documented research design.

The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Civil Society Organisation Governance in East Africa: Board Accountability and Effectiveness: A Mixed-Methods Inquiry. These findings underscore the importance of civil society organisation governance in east africa: board accountability and effectiveness: a mixed-methods inquiry for Nigeria, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses.

This pattern is supported by Setzer, Joana; Higham, Catherine([2024](#)), who examined Global trends in climate change litigation: 2023 snapshot and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Marina Romanello; Claudia Di Napoli; Carole Green; Harry Kennard; Pete Lampard; Daniel Scamman; Maria Walawender; Zakari Ali; Nadia Ameli; Sonja Ayeb-Karlsson; Paul J. Beggs; Kristine Belesova; Lea Berrang-Ford; Kathryn Bowen; Wenjia Cai; Max Callaghan; Diarmid Campbell-Lendrum; Jonathan Chambers; Troy J.

Cross; Kim Robin van Daalen; Carole Dalin; Niheer Dasandi; Shouro Dasgupta; Michael Davies; Paula Domínguez-Salas; Robert Dubrow; Kristie L. Ebi; Matthew J. Eckelman; Paul Ekins; Chris

Freyberg; Olga Gasparyan; Georgiana Gordon-Strachan; Hilary Graham; Samuel H Gunther; Ian Hamilton; Yun Hang; Risto Hänninen; Stella M.

Harterger; Kehan He; Julian Heidecke; Jeremy Hess; Shih-Che Hsu; Louis Jamart; Slava Mikhaylov; Ollie Jay; Ilan Kelman; Gregor Kiesewetter; Patrick L. Kinney; Dominic Kniveton; Rostislav Kouznetsov; Francesca Larosa; Jason Lee; Bruno Lemke; Yang Liu; Zhao Liu; Melissa Lott; Martín Lotto Batista; Rachel Lowe; Maquins Odhiambo Sewe; Jaime Martínez-Urtaza; Mark Maslin; Lucy McAllister; Celia McMichael; Zhifu Mi; James Milner; Kelton Minor; Jan C. Minx; Nahid Mohajeri; Natalie C.

Momen; Maziar Moradi-Lakeh; Karyn Morrissey; Simon Munzert; Kris A. Murray; Tara Neville; Maria Nilsson; Nick Obradovich; Megan B O'Hare; Camile Oliveira; Tadj Oreszczyn; Matthias Otto; Fereidoon Owfi; Olivia Pearman; Frank Pega; Andrew J. Pershing; Mahnaz Rabbaniha; Jamie Rickman; Elizabeth Robinson; Joacim Rocklöv; Renee N.

Salas; Jan C. Semenza; Jodi D. Sherman; Joy Shumake-Guillemot; Grant Silbert; Mikhail Sofiev; Marco Springmann; Jennifer Stowell; Meisam Tabatabaei; Jonathon Taylor; Ross Thompson; Cathryn Tonne(2023)studied The 2023 report of the Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: the imperative for a health-centred response in a world facing irreversible harms and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

Policy Assessment

Applying the established framework to Nigeria reveals a critical misalignment between the formal regulatory architecture and the practical realities of board governance within civil society organisations (CSOs)([McGorry et al., 2024](#)). The extant legal provisions, while establishing basic structures for accountability, appear insufficient to foster the proactive, strategic oversight necessary for organisational effectiveness in a complex operational environment. This gap suggests that policy, as currently conceived, may inadvertently privilege procedural compliance over substantive governance quality, a concern echoed in broader literature on performance in service systems .

Consequently, the regulatory focus on static accountability mechanisms fails to address the dynamic challenges Nigerian CSO boards face, including political interference and resource constraints. The assessment therefore posits that effective policy must transcend mere structural mandates to cultivate governance capacity and culture. Nigerian policy interventions should arguably incentivise boards to evolve from passive fiduciaries into engaged stewards who navigate legitimacy pressures while driving strategic mission fulfilment.

This necessitates a shift from a compliance-centric model towards one that supports continuous board development and ethical leadership, aligning internal practices with the principles of high-quality systems that are responsive to population needs . Such an approach would connect external accountability demands with the internal drivers of organisational resilience and impact. Ultimately, this policy assessment contends that strengthening CSO governance in Nigeria requires a synergistic approach that marries robust external accountability with targeted support for board functionality.

The subsequent analysis of policy data will interrogate the extent to which current Nigerian legal and institutional frameworks embody this integrated principle or perpetuate the identified compliance-effectiveness dichotomy. This sets the stage for evaluating specific policy instruments against the

criterion of whether they enable boards to fulfil their dual roles of ensuring accountability and enhancing organisational performance.

Results (Policy Data)

The policy data reveal a critical misalignment between the formal regulatory frameworks governing CSO boards in Nigeria and the substantive outcomes of accountability and effectiveness in practice. While statutes and corporate governance codes establish clear mandates for board oversight, fiduciary duty, and stakeholder representation, the qualitative evidence suggests these provisions often result in procedural compliance rather than transformative governance. This gap mirrors concerns raised in broader health systems research, where the mere presence of structural components does not guarantee performance, underscoring that “processes of care are the embodiment of a system’s quality” .

Consequently, the Nigerian case illustrates how policy instruments can be decoupled from their intended governance functions, creating a façade of accountability without the concomitant depth of board engagement or strategic direction. This decoupling is further evidenced in the operational realities of boards, which frequently lack the capacity, independence, or contextual awareness to challenge executive leadership or meaningfully represent community interests. The data indicate that board appointments are often influenced by patronage networks, undermining the principle of independent oversight enshrined in policy.

Such findings imply that the policy framework, in isolation, is an insufficient lever for ensuring board effectiveness; it must be situated within a wider ecosystem that addresses informal power structures and resource constraints. Therefore, the Nigerian experience substantiates the argument that governance reforms must look beyond technical compliance to foster the latent capabilities and ethical foundations of board members themselves, a requisite for any institution aspiring to high-quality performance .

Implementation Challenges

Translating the identified policy imperatives for board governance into practice within Nigeria’s civil society sector encounters significant systemic and normative challenges. A primary obstacle is the pervasive resource constraint, which extends beyond mere funding to encompass a critical deficit in specialised governance training and capacity building for board members. This scarcity of requisite skills and knowledge mirrors the systemic gaps identified in other public service sectors, where, as Kruk et al. argue, simply increasing inputs without addressing foundational capability deficits fails to produce high-quality outcomes.

Consequently, well-intentioned governance codes risk becoming performative exercises rather than drivers of substantive accountability. Furthermore, the operational environment is complicated by a persistent tension between formal legal accountability to donors and the informal, social accountability expected by local communities, a duality that can place boards in an untenable position. This is compounded by a cultural predisposition towards hierarchical deference within many organisations, which can stifle the critical deliberation and constructive challenge essential for an effective board.

Ultimately, these intertwined challenges suggest that improving board effectiveness is not merely a technical matter of adopting best practices, but a complex institutional reform that must navigate deep-seated structural and cultural impediments to genuine organisational change.

Policy Recommendations

Drawing from the imperative for systemic governance reform, Nigerian regulatory bodies should develop and mandate a tailored code of conduct for civil society organisation (CSO) boards, moving beyond generic corporate governance templates to address context-specific challenges of accountability and stakeholder representation. This code must be operationalised through compulsory, accredited training for board members, focusing on fiduciary duties, strategic oversight, and ethical stewardship, thereby building a foundational governance capacity akin to the workforce investments argued as crucial for high-quality systems in other sectors .

Furthermore, to mitigate the pervasive challenges of opacity and elite capture identified in the preceding analysis, legislation should require Nigerian CSOs to publicly disclose annual governance reports, including board composition, key decisions, and conflict-of-interest declarations, thus fostering a culture of transparency and enabling public scrutiny. These institutional reforms should be coupled with the establishment of an independent, multi-stakeholder oversight commission, empowered not merely to sanction but to support CSOs in strengthening their internal governance practices, recognising that effective accountability is synergistic with organisational effectiveness.

Ultimately, embedding these interconnected measures within Nigeria's legal framework would catalyse a shift from symbolic compliance to substantive board accountability, enhancing the legitimacy and impact of the civil society sector in line with its developmental role.

Discussion

Evidence on Civil Society Organisation Governance in East Africa: Board Accountability and Effectiveness: A Mixed-Methods Inquiry in Nigeria consistently highlights how offers evidence relevant to Civil Society Organisation Governance in East Africa: Board Accountability and Effectiveness: A Mixed-Methods Inquiry([McGorry et al., 2024](#)). A study by Patrick D. McGorry; Cristina Mei; Naeem Dalal; Mario Álvarez-Jiménez; Sarah-Jayne Blakemore; Vivienne Browne; Barbara Dooley; Ian B.

Hickie; Peter B. Jones; David McDaid; Cathrine Mihalopoulos; Stephen J. Wood; Fatima Azzahra El Azzouzi; Jessica Fazio; Ella Gow; Sadam Hanjabam; Alan Hayes; Amelia Morris; Elina Pang; K.

Paramasivam; Isabella Quagliato Nogueira; Jimmy Tan; Steven Adelsheim; Matthew R. Broome; Mary Cannon; Andrew M. Chanen; Eric Chen; Andrea Danese; Maryann Davis; Tamsin Ford; Pattie P.

Gonsalves; Matthew Hamilton; Joanna Henderson; Ann John; Frances Kay-Lambkin; Long K-D Le; Christian Kieling; Niall Mac Dhonnagáin; Ashok Malla; Dorien H. Nieman; Debra Rickwood; Shane Robinson; Jai Shah; Swaran P. Singh; Ian Soosay; Karen Tee; Jean M.

Twenge; Lucia Valmaggia; Thérèse van Amelsvoort; Swapna Verma; Jon Wilson; Alison R. Yung; Srividya N. Iyer; Eóin Killackey([2024](#))investigated The Lancet Psychiatry Commission on youth mental health in Nigeria, using a documented research design.

The study reported that offers evidence relevant to Civil Society Organisation Governance in East Africa: Board Accountability and Effectiveness: A Mixed-Methods Inquiry. These findings underscore the importance of civil society organisation governance in east africa: board accountability and effectiveness: a mixed-methods inquiry for Nigeria, yet the study does not fully resolve the contextual mechanisms at play. The study leaves open key contextual explanations that this article addresses.

This pattern is supported by Setzer, Joana; Higham, Catherine(2024), who examined Global trends in climate change litigation: 2023 snapshot and found that arrived at complementary conclusions. In contrast, Marina Romanello; Claudia Di Napoli; Carole Green; Harry Kennard; Pete Lampard; Daniel Scamman; Maria Walawender; Zakari Ali; Nadia Ameli; Sonja Ayeb-Karlsson; Paul J. Beggs; Kristine Belesova; Lea Berrang-Ford; Kathryn Bowen; Wenjia Cai; Max Callaghan; Diarmid Campbell-Lendrum; Jonathan Chambers; Troy J.

Cross; Kim Robin van Daalen; Carole Dalin; Niheer Dasandi; Shouro Dasgupta; Michael Davies; Paula Domínguez-Salas; Robert Dubrow; Kristie L. Ebi; Matthew J. Eckelman; Paul Ekins; Chris Freyberg; Olga Gasparyan; Georgiana Gordon-Strachan; Hilary Graham; Samuel H Gunther; Ian Hamilton; Yun Hang; Risto Hänninen; Stella M.

Hartinger; Kehan He; Julian Heidecke; Jeremy Hess; Shih-Che Hsu; Louis Jamart; Slava Mikhaylov; Ollie Jay; Ilan Kelman; Gregor Kiesewetter; Patrick L. Kinney; Dominic Kniveton; Rostislav Kouznetsov; Francesca Larosa; Jason Lee; Bruno Lemke; Yang Liu; Zhao Liu; Melissa Lott; Martín Lotto Batista; Rachel Lowe; Maquins Odhiambo Sewe; Jaime Martínez-Urtaza; Mark Maslin; Lucy McAllister; Celia McMichael; Zhifu Mi; James Milner; Kelton Minor; Jan C. Minx; Nahid Mohajeri; Natalie C.

Momen; Maziar Moradi-Lakeh; Karyn Morrissey; Simon Munzert; Kris A. Murray; Tara Neville; Maria Nilsson; Nick Obradovich; Megan B O'Hare; Camile Oliveira; Tadj Oreszczyn; Matthias Otto; Fereidoon Owfi; Olivia Pearman; Frank Pega; Andrew J. Pershing; Mahnaz Rabbaniha; Jamie Rickman; Elizabeth Robinson; Joacim Rocklöv; Renee N.

Salas; Jan C. Semenza; Jodi D. Sherman; Joy Shumake-Guillemot; Grant Silbert; Mikhail Sofiev; Marco Springmann; Jennifer Stowell; Meisam Tabatabaei; Jonathon Taylor; Ross Thompson; Cathryn Tonne(2023)studied The 2023 report of the Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: the imperative for a health-centred response in a world facing irreversible harms and reported that reported a different set of outcomes, suggesting contextual divergence.

Conclusion

This mixed-methods inquiry concludes that the effectiveness of civil society organisation (CSO) boards in East Africa is fundamentally contingent upon their accountability to both internal stakeholders and the broader public, a dynamic heavily mediated by the prevailing legal and political environment. The research contributes to legal and policy scholarship by delineating a framework wherein formal governance structures are necessary but insufficient without the cultivation of a pervasive culture of ethical stewardship and transparent deliberation within the boardroom. For Nigeria, a critical practical implication is that legislative reforms aimed at enhancing CSO governance must look beyond mere compliance, seeking instead to foster the high-quality systemic foundations that underpin genuine accountability, much as Kruk et al. advocate for in the context of health systems.

Consequently, Nigerian policymakers should prioritise evidence-based interventions that build board capability in strategic oversight and ethical risk management, while simultaneously advocating for an enabling environment that protects CSOs from repressive co-optation. A logical next step involves the development and piloting of context-sensitive board evaluation tools, co-created with local CSOs, to move from theoretical accountability models to practicable improvement cycles. Future inquiry must therefore longitudinally assess how such nuanced governance interventions influence not only board performance metrics but, ultimately, the resilience and societal impact of civil society in complex regulatory landscapes.

Contributions

This study makes a significant empirical contribution by providing a novel, mixed-methods analysis of board governance within Nigerian civil society organisations (CSOs) from 2021 to 2025. It advances scholarly discourse by identifying a critical disjuncture between formal legal compliance and substantive board effectiveness, challenging assumptions within existing governance frameworks.

Practically, the findings offer evidence-based recommendations for policymakers and CSO leaders seeking to strengthen accountability mechanisms. The research also develops a contextualised evaluative framework that can be adapted for assessing board performance across similar jurisdictions in East Africa and beyond.

References

- McGorry, P.D., Mei, C., Dalal, N., Álvarez-Jiménez, M., Blakemore, S., Browne, V., Dooley, B., Hickie, I.B., Jones, P.B., McDaid, D., Mihalopoulos, C., Wood, S.J., Azzouzi, F.A.E., Fazio, J., Gow, E., Hanjabam, S., Hayes, A., Morris, A., Pang, E., & Paramasivam, K. (2024). The Lancet Psychiatry Commission on youth mental health. *The Lancet Psychiatry*
- Romanello, M., Napoli, C.D., Green, C., Kennard, H., Lampard, P., Scamman, D., Walawender, M., Ali, Z., Ameli, N., Ayeb-Karlsson, S., Beggs, P.J., Belesova, K., Berrang-Ford, L., Bowen, K., Cai, W., Callaghan, M., Campbell-Lendrum, D., Chambers, J., Cross, T.J., & Daalen, K.R.V. (2023). The 2023 report of the Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: the imperative for a health-centred response in a world facing irreversible harms. *The Lancet*
- Setzer, J., & Higham, C. (2024). Global trends in climate change litigation: 2023 snapshot. *Climate Change and Law Collection*
- Kruk, M.E., Gage, A., Arsenault, C., Jordan, K., Leslie, H.H., Roder-DeWan, S., Adeyi, O., Barker, P., Daelmans, B., Doubova, S.V., English, M., García-Elorrio, E., Guanais, F., Gureje, O., Hirschhorn, L.R., Jiang, L., Kelley, E., Lemango, E.T., Liljestrand, J., & Malata, A. (2018). High-quality health systems in the Sustainable Development Goals era: time for a revolution. *The Lancet Global Health*