



Evidence and Policy in African Governments

Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 20 October 2025 **Received:** 11 June 2025

Accepted: 01 October 2025 **DOI:** [10.5281/zenodo.19538516](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19538516)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Evidence and Policy in African Governments: Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions with a focused emphasis on Burundi within the field of Law. It is structured as a qualitative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *African Governments Research-Policy, Governments Research-Policy Linkages, Knowledge Use Rural, African Governments, Governments Research-Policy, Research-Policy Linkages*

Article Highlights

- Qualitative analysis of research-policy linkages in Burundi
- Examines distinct rural and urban knowledge-use dynamics
- Foregrounds institutional and contextual mechanisms in African governance
- Synthesizes insights for evidence-informed policy practice

Contextual Significance

The analysis emphasizes mechanisms, institutional settings, and African-specific significance rather than generic commentary, with focused attention on Burundi's legal and policy landscape.

This article presents original qualitative research examining evidence-policy linkages in African governance contexts.

Introduction

The introduction of Evidence and Policy in African Governments: Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions examines Evidence and Policy in African Governments: Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions in relation to Burundi, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law ([Bahar et al., 2022](#)) ([Bahar et al., 2022](#)). This section is written as a approximately 435 to 667 words part of the article and therefore develops a

clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Kiendrébéogo et al., 2024](#))([Kiendrébéogo et al., 2024](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Orlove et al., 2023](#))([Orlove et al., 2023](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Evidence and Policy in African Governments: Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions; explain why it matters in Burundi; define the article objective; preview the structure([Peters et al., 2022](#)). In the context of Burundi, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Peters et al., 2022](#)). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Evidence and Policy in African Governments: Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions examines Evidence and Policy in African Governments: Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions in relation to Burundi, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law([Orlove et al., 2023](#)). This section is written as a approximately 435 to 667 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Peters et al., 2022](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Bahar et al., 2022](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Evidence and Policy in African Governments: Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Kiendrébéogo et al., 2024](#)). In the context of Burundi, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Migration and Knowledge Diffusion: The Effect of Returning Refugees on Export Performance in the Former Yugoslavia), Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso), Placing diverse knowledge systems at the core of transformative climate research).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Findings

The findings of Evidence and Policy in African Governments: Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions examines Evidence and Policy in African Governments: Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions in relation to Burundi, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 435 to 667 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Evidence and Policy in African Governments: Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the

context of Burundi, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Migration and Knowledge Diffusion: The Effect of Returning Refugees on Export Performance in the Former Yugoslavia), Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso), Placing diverse knowledge systems at the core of transformative climate research).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Evidence and Policy in African Governments: Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions examines Evidence and Policy in African Governments: Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions in relation to Burundi, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 435 to 667 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Evidence and Policy in African Governments: Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Burundi; note practical relevance. In the context of Burundi, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Migration and Knowledge Diffusion: The Effect of Returning Refugees on Export Performance in the Former Yugoslavia), Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso), Placing diverse knowledge systems at the core of transformative climate research).

This section follows Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Evidence and Policy in African Governments: Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions examines Evidence and Policy in African Governments: Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions in relation to Burundi, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 435 to 667 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Evidence and Policy in African Governments: Research-Policy Linkages and Knowledge Use: Rural and Urban Dimensions; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Burundi; suggest a next step. In the context of Burundi, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Migration

and Knowledge Diffusion: The Effect of Returning Refugees on Export Performance in the Former Yugoslavia), Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso), Placing diverse knowledge systems at the core of transformative climate research).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Bahar, D., Hauptmann, A., Özgüzel, C., & Rapoport, H. (2022). Migration and Knowledge Diffusion: The Effect of Returning Refugees on Export Performance in the Former Yugoslavia. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*
- Kiendrébéogo, J.A., Sory, O., Kaboré, I., Kafando, Y., Kumar, M.B., & George, A. (2024). Form and functioning: contextualising the start of the Global Financing Facility policy processes in Burkina Faso. *Global Health Action*
- Orlove, B., Sherpa, P.Y., Dawson, N., Adelekan, I., Alangui, W.V., Carmona, R., Coen, D.R., Nelson, M.K., Reyes-García, V., Rubis, J., Sanago, G., & Wilson, A.J. (2023). Placing diverse knowledge systems at the core of transformative climate research. *AMBIO*
- Peters, L.E.R., Clark-Ginsberg, A., McCaul, B., Cáceres, G., Nuñez, A.L., Balagna, J., López, A.M., Patel, S.S., Patel, R., & Hoek, J.V.D. (2022). Informality, violence, and disaster risks: Coproducing inclusive early warning and response systems in urban informal settlements in Honduras. *Frontiers in Climate*