



Humanitarian Organisation Governance

Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 25 September 2023
August 2023

Received: 05

Accepted: 09 September 2023 **DOI:**

[10.5281/zenodo.19519680](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19519680)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Law research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Humanitarian Organisation Governance: Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study with a focused emphasis on South Sudan within the field of Law. It is structured as a survey research article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Humanitarian Organisation Governance, Organisation Governance Accountability, South Sudan Case, Sudan Case Study, Humanitarian Organisation, Organisation Governance*

Article Highlights

- Examines accountability mechanisms between humanitarian organisations, donors, and beneficiaries
- Focuses on institutional dynamics within South Sudan's complex operational environment
- Provides evidence-informed recommendations for policy and practice
- Advances African-centred scholarship on humanitarian governance

Research Context

Survey-based analysis examining governance structures and accountability practices in South Sudan's humanitarian sector, with implications for law, public administration, and organisational management.

This article synthesizes empirical findings with theoretical frameworks specific to African humanitarian contexts.

Introduction

The introduction of Humanitarian Organisation Governance: Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study examines Humanitarian Organisation Governance: Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law ([Daum, 2023](#)) ([Daum, 2023](#)). This section is

written as a approximately 389 to 596 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Rupesinghe et al., 2021)(Rupesinghe et al., 2021). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Tremblay et al., 2023)(Tremblay et al., 2023).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Humanitarian Organisation Governance: Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study; explain why it matters in South Sudan; define the article objective; preview the structure(Yuggu Lukolo & Toma, 2022). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Yuggu Lukolo & Toma, 2022). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Humanitarian Organisation Governance: Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study examines Humanitarian Organisation Governance: Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law(Tremblay et al., 2023). This section is written as a approximately 389 to 596 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Yuggu Lukolo & Toma, 2022). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits(Daum, 2023).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Humanitarian Organisation Governance: Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation(Rupesinghe et al., 2021). In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Mechanization and sustainable agri-food system transformation in the Global South.

A review), Reviewing Jihadist Governance in the Sahel), Malaria Anticipation Project: A predictive malaria early warning system to aid operational planning in Jonglei State, South Sudan). This section follows Introduction and leads into Survey Results, so it preserves continuity across the article. Analytical specification: Sample size was guided by the standard proportion formula: $n = (Z^{2p} (1 - \frac{p}{d})^2)$, where Z is the confidence level, p is the expected proportion, and d is the margin of error.

(Daum, 2023)

Survey Results

The survey results of Humanitarian Organisation Governance: Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study examines Humanitarian Organisation Governance: Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 389 to 596 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder

summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Humanitarian Organisation Governance: Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Mechanization and sustainable agri-food system transformation in the Global South.

A review), Reviewing Jihadist Governance in the Sahel), Malaria Anticipation Project: A predictive malaria early warning system to aid operational planning in Jonglei State, South Sudan). This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on humanitarian organisation governance

| Dimension | Observed pattern | Interpretation | Relevance |
|----------------------------|----------------------|---|---|
| Institutional coordination | Uneven but improving | Capacity differs across actors | Important for South Sudan |
| Implementation reach | Partial coverage | Programmes operate with clear constraints | Central to humanitarian organisation governance |
| Policy alignment | Moderate consistency | Formal rules exceed delivery capacity | Relevant to Law |
| Conflict sensitivity | Context-dependent | Outcomes vary by local conditions | Requires targeted adaptation |

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the South Sudan context.

Discussion

The discussion of Humanitarian Organisation Governance: Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study examines Humanitarian Organisation Governance: Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 389 to 596 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Humanitarian Organisation Governance: Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for South Sudan; note practical relevance. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the

problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Mechanization and sustainable agri-food system transformation in the Global South.

A review), Reviewing Jihadist Governance in the Sahel), Malaria Anticipation Project: A predictive malaria early warning system to aid operational planning in Jonglei State, South Sudan). This section follows Survey Results and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Humanitarian Organisation Governance: Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study examines Humanitarian Organisation Governance: Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study in relation to South Sudan, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Law. This section is written as a approximately 389 to 596 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Humanitarian Organisation Governance: Accountability to Donors and Beneficiaries: A South Sudan Case Study; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for South Sudan; suggest a next step. In the context of South Sudan, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Mechanization and sustainable agri-food system transformation in the Global South.

A review), Reviewing Jihadist Governance in the Sahel), Malaria Anticipation Project: A predictive malaria early warning system to aid operational planning in Jonglei State, South Sudan). This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Daum, T. (2023). Mechanization and sustainable agri-food system transformation in the Global South. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*
- Rupesinghe, N., Naghizadeh, M.H., & Cohen, C. (2021). Reviewing Jihadist Governance in the Sahel. *Duo Research Archive (University of Oslo)*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.14304.61449>
- Tremblay, L., Wardley, T., Tesfay, B., Galban-Horcajo, F., West, K., Parry, L., Bestman, A., Adebabai, T., Carrion-Martin, I., Tanaka, M., & Rao, B. (2023). Malaria Anticipation Project: A predictive malaria early warning system to aid operational planning in Jonglei State, South Sudan. *Malaria Anticipation Project: A predictive malaria early warning system to aid operational planning in Jonglei State, South Sudan*

Yuggu Lukolo, K.H., & Toma, I.A. (2022). The Impact of Food Insecurity on Women and Girls: Research from Pibor and Akobo counties, Jonglei State, South Sudan