



Methodological Evaluation of Manufacturing Plant Systems Adoption in Uganda Using Difference-in-Differences Approaches

Peter Namusisi^{1,2}, James Kizza³, Samuel Okello⁴

¹ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Kampala International University (KIU)

² Busitema University

³ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Busitema University

⁴ Department of Electrical Engineering, Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (UNCST)

Published: 06 February 2010 | **Received:** 31 August 2009 | **Accepted:** 08 December 2009

Correspondence: pnamusisi@yahoo.com

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.18908905](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18908905)

Author notes

Peter Namusisi is affiliated with Department of Mechanical Engineering, Kampala International University (KIU) and focuses on Engineering research in Africa.

James Kizza is affiliated with Department of Mechanical Engineering, Busitema University and focuses on Engineering research in Africa.

Samuel Okello is affiliated with Department of Electrical Engineering, Uganda National Council for Science and Technology (UNCST) and focuses on Engineering research in Africa.

Abstract

The adoption of manufacturing plant systems in developing countries like Uganda is crucial for improving productivity and competitiveness. A difference-in-differences approach will be employed, comparing pre- and post-intervention periods for treatment and control groups. Data from industry surveys will inform the analysis. The DiD model revealed a significant increase in system adoption rates by 20% among treated firms compared to controls over one year. This study provides robust evidence on the efficacy of DiD models for assessing plant system adoptions, offering insights for policy makers and practitioners. Further research should explore long-term impacts and cost-effectiveness. Policy initiatives could target sectors with lower adoption rates. Manufacturing Systems Adoption, Difference-in-Differences, Ugandan Firms The maintenance outcome was modelled as $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + u_i + \epsilon_i$, with robustness checked using heteroskedasticity-consistent errors.

Keywords: *Sub-Saharan, geospatial analysis, econometric modelling, productivity enhancement, intervention evaluation, longitudinal studies, qualitative comparative analysis*

ABSTRACT-ONLY PUBLICATION

This is an abstract-only publication. The complete research paper with full methodology, results, discussion, and references is available upon request.

✉ **REQUEST FULL PAPER**

Email: info@parj.africa

Request your copy of the full paper today!

SUBMIT YOUR RESEARCH

Are you a researcher in Africa? We welcome your submissions!

Join our community of African scholars and share your groundbreaking work.

Submit at: app.parj.africa



Scan to visit app.parj.africa

Open Access Scholarship from PARJ

Empowering African Research | Advancing Global Knowledge