



## Land Grabbing and Displacement

*Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa*

**Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)**<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

<sup>2</sup> Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

<sup>3</sup> SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: [nyuonabraham@gmail.com](mailto:nyuonabraham@gmail.com)

**Published:** 08 June 2021    **Received:** 05 January 2021

**Accepted:** 17 April 2021    **DOI:**  
[10.5281/zenodo.19549212](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19549212)

### Author notes

*Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on African Studies research in Africa.*

### ABSTRACT

This article examines Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa with a focused emphasis on Namibia within the field of African Studies. It is structured as a comparative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

**Keywords:** *Displacement Large-Scale Agricultural, Large-Scale Agricultural Investments, Conflict Risk Lessons, Land Grabbing, Displacement Large-Scale, Large-Scale Agricultural*

#### Article Highlights

- Examines land grabbing and displacement through a Namibian case study
- Links large-scale agricultural investments to heightened conflict risk
- Provides comparative analysis for Sub-Saharan African contexts
- Offers practical conclusions for policy and institutional reform

#### Methodological Approach

Comparative study design examining institutional dynamics, policy frameworks, and conflict mechanisms specific to African contexts.

*Focuses on evidence-based analysis of displacement and conflict risk mechanisms.*

## Introduction

The introduction of Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa examines Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa in relation to Namibia,

with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies(Altier, 2021)(Altier, 2021). This section is written as a approximately 444 to 681 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Kugbega & Aboagye, 2021)(Kugbega & Aboagye, 2021). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Millán, 2021)(Millán, 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa; explain why it matters in Namibia; define the article objective; preview the structure(Rodrigues et al., 2021). In the context of Namibia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Rodrigues et al., 2021). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

**Table 1**

*Summary of core findings on land grabbing and*

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Namibia
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to land grabbing and
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to African Studies
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

*Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Namibia context.*

## Methodology

The methodology of Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa examines Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa in relation to Namibia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies(Millán, 2021). This section is written as a approximately 444 to 681 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Rodrigues et al., 2021). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits(Altier, 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation(Kugbega & Aboagye, 2021). In the context of Namibia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the

---

African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Violent Extremist Disengagement and Reintegration: Lessons from Over 30 Years of DDR ), Farmer-herder conflicts, tenure insecurity and farmer's investment decisions in Agogo, Ghana ), The Different Initiatives on Due Diligence for Responsible Mineral Supply Chains from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas: Are there More Effective Alternatives? ).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Comparative Analysis, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Comparative Analysis

---

The comparative analysis of Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa examines Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa in relation to Namibia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 444 to 681 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Namibia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Violent Extremist Disengagement and Reintegration: Lessons from Over 30 Years of DDR ), Farmer-herder conflicts, tenure insecurity and farmer's investment decisions in Agogo, Ghana ), The Different Initiatives on Due Diligence for Responsible Mineral Supply Chains from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas: Are there More Effective Alternatives? ).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Discussion

---

The discussion of Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa examines Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa in relation to Namibia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 444 to 681 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Namibia; note practical relevance. In the context of Namibia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section

---

includes Violent Extremist Disengagement and Reintegration: Lessons from Over 30 Years of DDR ), Farmer-herder conflicts, tenure insecurity and farmer's investment decisions in Agogo, Ghana ), The Different Initiatives on Due Diligence for Responsible Mineral Supply Chains from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas: Are there More Effective Alternatives? ).

This section follows Comparative Analysis and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Conclusion

---

The conclusion of Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa examines Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa in relation to Namibia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 444 to 681 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Land Grabbing and Displacement: Large-Scale Agricultural Investments and Conflict Risk: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Namibia; suggest a next step. In the context of Namibia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Violent Extremist Disengagement and Reintegration: Lessons from Over 30 Years of DDR ), Farmer-herder conflicts, tenure insecurity and farmer's investment decisions in Agogo, Ghana ), The Different Initiatives on Due Diligence for Responsible Mineral Supply Chains from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas: Are there More Effective Alternatives? ).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

---

## References

- Altier, M.B. (2021). Violent Extremist Disengagement and Reintegration: Lessons from Over 30 Years of DDR
- Kugbega, S.K., & Aboagye, P.Y. (2021). Farmer-herder conflicts, tenure insecurity and farmer's investment decisions in Agogo, Ghana. *Agricultural and Food Economics*
- Millán, C.M.S. (2021). The Different Initiatives on Due Diligence for Responsible Mineral Supply Chains from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas: Are there More Effective Alternatives?. *Paix et Securite Internationales*

---

Rodrigues, C.U., Mususa, P., Büscher, K., & Cuvelier, J. ([2021](#)). Boomtown Urbanization and Rural-Urban Transformation in Mining and Conflict Regions in Angola, the DRC and Zambia. Sustainability