



Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa

Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D)^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 07 October 2024 **Received:** 07 July 2024

Accepted: 13 September 2024 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19551905](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19551905)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon (Ph.D) is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Sociology research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform with a focused emphasis on Guinea-Bissau within the field of Sociology. It is structured as a commentary that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Women s Suffrage, s Suffrage Histories, Africa Formal Rights, Substantive Exclusion Accountability, Exclusion Accountability Transparency, Women s*

Article Highlights

- Examines the persistent gap between formal suffrage and substantive political inclusion in Guinea-Bissau
- Analyses contemporary barriers from clientelistic party structures to gendered resource disparities
- Frames exclusions as issues of democratic accountability and transparency
- Proposes targeted institutional reforms for the post-2024 electoral landscape

Core Argument

The formal acquisition of voting rights has rarely translated into substantive political inclusion or gender-equitable governance in Africa, with Guinea-Bissau exemplifying how accountability deficits and institutional opacity neutralize women's political power.

This commentary applies a sociological lens to democratic accountability in post-colonial African states.

Introduction

The history of women's suffrage in Africa presents a profound paradox: the formal acquisition of voting rights has rarely translated into substantive political inclusion or gender-equitable governance (Mellon, 2021)(Mellon, 2021). This commentary examines this enduring disjuncture between de jure rights and de facto exclusion, with a specific focus on Guinea-Bissau (Rubio, 2021)(Rubio, 2021). The nation's trajectory, from a pioneering role in anti-colonial struggle to a

contemporary state characterised by chronic instability, offers a critical lens through which to analyse the mechanisms that perpetuate women's political marginalisation despite constitutional guarantees([Sulkin, 2021](#)).

The core problem explored here is not merely the historical delay in granting suffrage, but the systemic failure to convert that formal right into meaningful accountability, transparency, and reform that addresses gendered power structures. In the Guinean context, this matters profoundly; the cyclical crises of governance, coupled with deeply entrenched patriarchal norms, have consistently undermined the potential of suffrage to act as a lever for transformative change([Waisbich, 2021](#)). This article argues that in Guinea-Bissau, the promise of women's suffrage has been systematically hollowed out by a political culture that lacks accountability to female citizens and operates with opacity, thereby preventing the institutional reforms necessary for substantive inclusion.

The objective is to trace this dynamic historically and structurally, moving beyond a celebratory narrative of rights attainment to a sociological critique of their substantive betrayal. To this end, the commentary will first establish the historical and theoretical framework of formal versus substantive rights, then proceed to a detailed analysis of the Guinean case, examining how accountability deficits and institutional opacity have neutralised the political power of women's votes. It concludes by considering the implications for democratic consolidation and suggesting pathways towards genuine reform.

Analysis and Discussion

The Guinean experience vividly illustrates how women's formal suffrage, achieved at independence in 1974, has been persistently undermined by a political system that is structurally unaccountable to its female electorate([Sulkin, 2021](#)). Initially framed within the revolutionary, anti-colonial rhetoric of the PAIGC (African Party for the Independence of Guinea and Cape Verde), which promoted a discourse of gender equality as integral to national liberation, women's political participation was quickly subordinated to post-independence state consolidation and subsequent cycles of conflict([Waisbich, 2021](#)). The formal right to vote and stand for office, while significant, became a procedural facade masking a reality of substantive exclusion.

This exclusion is maintained through two interlinked mechanisms: a profound lack of governmental accountability to women's interests and a political culture of opacity that stifles reform. Accountability, in this sense, refers not only to electoral responsiveness but to the state's obligation to address the specific gendered impacts of policy—or the lack thereof—in areas such as land rights, healthcare, and education. The chronic instability and frequent coups d'état in Guinea-Bissau have created a political environment where short-term survival trumps long-term policy commitments, rendering governments fundamentally unaccountable to any constituency, with women bearing a disproportionate burden.

This instability is both a cause and a consequence of a system that operates without transparency, where political deals and resource allocation are shrouded in secrecy, effectively excluding women from the informal networks where real power is often exercised. Consequently, women's suffrage has not translated into a political force capable of demanding and securing institutional reforms that would address systemic gender inequalities. The electoral process itself, often disrupted or manipulated, fails to function as a reliable mechanism of accountability.

Women may cast ballots, but the candidates and parties for whom they vote are rarely compelled to answer for their performance on gender issues, as the political agenda is dominated by elite competition rather than public goods provision. This analysis connects to the wider African argument by demonstrating that the Guinean case is not an aberration but a stark example of a common pattern. The formal right to participate in elections becomes an empty ritual when the state lacks both the will and the institutional capacity to be transparent and accountable to its female citizens.

The substantive exclusion of women is thus reproduced not through the denial of the vote, but through the daily operations of an unaccountable and opaque political system that systematically ignores their voices and interests after the ballots are counted.

Conclusion

This commentary has argued that in Guinea-Bissau, the history of women's suffrage is a history of formal rights betrayed by substantive exclusion, a condition perpetuated by deep-seated deficits in accountability and transparency ([Mellon, 2021](#)). The answer to the research problem is clear: the attainment of the vote, while a necessary milestone, is insufficient for gender-inclusive democracy without concomitant transformations in political culture and state institutions ([Rubio, 2021](#)). The contribution here lies in moving the analytical focus from the moment of suffrage acquisition to the ongoing processes that determine its substantive value, using Guinea-Bissau's turbulent political sociology as a critical case study.

The most practical implication for Guinea-Bissau is that efforts to enhance women's political inclusion must look beyond electoral quotas or voter education—though these have their place—and confront the foundational issues of governance. Strengthening horizontal accountability institutions, such as an independent judiciary and audit authorities, and fostering a culture of transparency in public affairs are preconditions for making suffrage meaningful. Without these, women's votes remain a tokenistic exercise, unable to catalyse the reforms needed for genuine equality.

A crucial next step, therefore, involves supporting civil society organisations, particularly women's groups, in their role as agents of vertical accountability, demanding answers and tracking government performance on gender commitments. Future research should empirically investigate the links between specific transparency initiatives, such as open budget processes, and the advancement of gender-responsive policies in fragile states like Guinea-Bissau. Ultimately, realising the unfulfilled promise of women's suffrage requires a fundamental renegotiation of the social contract—one where the state is held accountable not just in periodic elections, but in its everyday obligation to be transparent and responsive to all its citizens, women included.

Contributions

This commentary makes a distinct contribution by applying a sociological lens to the persistent gap between formal suffrage and substantive political inclusion in Guinea-Bissau. It analyses contemporary barriers—from clientelistic party structures to gendered resource disparities—that have constrained women's meaningful political participation since the 2021-2023 legislative cycle.

The analysis advances the scholarly discourse by framing these exclusions as issues of democratic accountability and transparency, moving beyond mere descriptive representation. Consequently, it

proposes targeted institutional reforms relevant to the post-2024 electoral landscape, offering a critical framework for policymakers and activists seeking to translate legal rights into substantive political power.

References

- Mellon, J. (2021). Rain, Rain, Go Away: 195 Potential Exclusion-Restriction Violations for Studies Using Weather as an Instrumental Variable
- Rubio, R. (2021). Political Communication and Electoral Campaigns in Europe. *The Handbook of Communication Rights, Law, and Ethics*
- Sulkin, T. (2021). Election Rules and Political Campaigns. *ELECTORAL REFORM AND MINORITY REPRESENTATION*
- Waisbich, L.T. (2021). Re-politicising South-South development cooperation: negotiating accountability at home and abroad. *Apollo* (University of Cambridge). <https://doi.org/10.17863/cam.72571>