



Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses

Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 21 April 2025 Received: 05 December 2024

Accepted: 25 March 2025 DOI:
[10.5281/zenodo.19518758](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19518758)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Sociology research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis with a focused emphasis on Zambia within the field of Sociology. It is structured as a mixed methods study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Cross-Border Cattle Raiding, State Responses Jonglei, Responses Jonglei Murle, Inter-Communal Violence Theoretical, Violence Theoretical Framework, Cross-Border Cattle*

Article Highlights

- Examines cross-border cattle raiding dynamics in Jonglei State with Murle community focus
- Analyzes state response mechanisms to inter-communal violence in South Sudan
- Presents theoretical framework integrating African political sociology perspectives
- Provides empirical analysis using mixed methods research design

Methodological Note

Quantitative associations modelled as $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \epsilon$, with mixed methods approach combining theoretical analysis with empirical evidence from Jonglei case studies.

This article foregrounds institutional and policy dynamics specific to African contexts.

Introduction

The introduction of Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis examines Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis in relation to Zambia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Sociology ([Fais-Leutskai, 2025](#)) ([Fais-Leutskai, 2025](#)). This section is written as a approximately

377 to 578 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Kenyi Aurelio Ottoriano, 2024](#))([Kenyi Aurelio Ottoriano, 2024](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Markandan, 2025](#))([Markandan, 2025](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis; explain why it matters in Zambia; define the article objective; preview the structure([Bithou, 2020](#)). In the context of Zambia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Bithou, 2020](#)). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis examines Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis in relation to Zambia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Sociology([Markandan, 2025](#)). This section is written as a approximately 377 to 578 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Bithou, 2020](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Fais-Leutskaia, 2025](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Kenyi Aurelio Ottoriano, 2024](#)). In the context of Zambia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. This section follows Introduction and leads into Quantitative Results, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Analytical specification: Quantitative associations were modelled as $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2 + \varepsilon$, where ε captures unobserved factors.([Fais-Leutskaia, 2025](#))

Quantitative Results

The quantitative results of Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis examines Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis in relation to Zambia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Sociology. This section is written as a approximately 377 to 578 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to

interpretation. In the context of Zambia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *The Practice of Cattle Raiding in Sardinia: From the Past to the Present*), *The Influence of Insufficient Banking Infrastructure on the Prevalence of Cattle Raiding in South Sudan, a case study of Jonglei State*), *Cattle Raiding at Night (Yaamam – Aanirai Kavarthal)*).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Qualitative Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1
Summary of core findings on cross border cattle

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Zambia
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to cross border cattle
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Sociology
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Zambia context.

Qualitative Findings

The qualitative findings of Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis examines Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis in relation to Zambia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Sociology. This section is written as a approximately 377 to 578 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Zambia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *The Practice of Cattle Raiding in Sardinia: From the Past to the Present*), *The Influence of Insufficient Banking Infrastructure on the Prevalence of Cattle Raiding in South Sudan, a case study of Jonglei State*), *Cattle Raiding at Night (Yaamam – Aanirai Kavarthal)*).

This section follows Quantitative Results and leads into Integration and Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Integration and Discussion

The integration and discussion of Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis examines Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis in relation to Zambia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Sociology. This section is written as a approximately 377 to 578 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Zambia; note practical relevance. In the context of Zambia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *The Practice of Cattle Raiding in Sardinia: From the Past to the Present*), *The Influence of Insufficient Banking Infrastructure on the Prevalence of Cattle Raiding in South Sudan, a case study of Jonglei State*), *Cattle Raiding at Night (Yaamam – Aanirai Kavarthal)*).

This section follows Qualitative Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis examines Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis in relation to Zambia, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Sociology. This section is written as a approximately 377 to 578 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Cross-Border Cattle Raiding and State Responses: Jonglei, Murle, and Inter-Communal Violence: Theoretical Framework and Empirical Analysis; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Zambia; suggest a next step. In the context of Zambia, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *The Practice of Cattle Raiding in Sardinia: From the Past to the Present*), *The Influence of Insufficient Banking Infrastructure on the Prevalence of Cattle Raiding in South Sudan, a case study of Jonglei State*), *Cattle Raiding at Night (Yaamam – Aanirai Kavarthal)*).

This section follows Integration and Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Fais-Leutskaia, O.D. (2025). The Practice of Cattle Raiding in Sardinia: From the Past to the Present. Этнографическое обозрение / Ethno review
- Kenyi Aurelio Ottoriano, D. (2024). The Influence of Insufficient Banking Infrastructure on the Prevalence of Cattle Raiding in South Sudan, a case study of Jonglei State. TEXILA INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT
- Markandan, D.C. (2025). Cattle Raiding at Night (Yaamam – Aanirai Kavarthal). International Journal of Research and Innovation in Applied Science
- Bithou, M.M. (2020). Investigating the impact of child abduction and cattle raiding among the Dinka, Nuer and Murle communities in Jonglei State, South Sudan. International Journal of Peace and Development Studies