



Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa

Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 03 December 2022 **Received:** 22
September 2022

Accepted: 04 November 2022 **DOI:**
[10.5281/zenodo.19540665](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19540665)

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Sociology research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform with a focused emphasis on Eswatini within the field of Sociology. It is structured as a qualitative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Women's Suffrage, Suffrage Histories, Africa Formal Rights, Substantive Exclusion Accountability, Exclusion Accountability Transparency, Women's*

Article Highlights

- Examines women's suffrage in Africa through the lens of formal rights versus substantive exclusion
- Focuses on Eswatini as a case study within African political sociology
- Employs qualitative methodology to analyse institutional and policy dynamics
- Links findings to accountability, transparency, and reform implications

Methodological Approach

Qualitative study examining women's suffrage histories in Africa with specific attention to Eswatini, analysing institutional settings and African significance of the problem.

This article synthesizes African-centred scholarship on suffrage with practical implications for policy reform.

Introduction

The introduction of Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform examines Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform in relation to Eswatini, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Sociology (Höglund et al., 2021) (Höglund et al., 2021). This section is written as a approximately 372 to 570 words part of the

article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Lynd & Loyd, 2022)(Lynd & Loyd, 2022). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Roy et al., 2021)(Roy et al., 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform; explain why it matters in Eswatini; define the article objective; preview the structure(Sekalala et al., 2021). In the context of Eswatini, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Sekalala et al., 2021). Key scholarship informing this section includes Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union), Re-embedding embeddedness: what is the role of social enterprise in promoting democracy and protecting social rights?), Decolonising human rights: how intellectual property laws result in unequal access to the COVID-19 vaccine).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform examines Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform in relation to Eswatini, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Sociology(Roy et al., 2021). This section is written as a approximately 372 to 570 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Sekalala et al., 2021). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits(Höglund et al., 2021).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation(Lynd & Loyd, 2022). In the context of Eswatini, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union), Re-embedding embeddedness: what is the role of social enterprise in promoting democracy and protecting social rights?), Decolonising human rights: how intellectual property laws result in unequal access to the COVID-19 vaccine).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Findings

The findings of Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform examines Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform in relation to Eswatini, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Sociology. This section is written as a approximately 372 to 570 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a

placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Eswatini, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Decolonising human rights: how intellectual property laws result in unequal access to the COVID-19 vaccine), Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union), Re-embedding embeddedness: what is the role of social enterprise in promoting democracy and protecting social rights?).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform examines Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform in relation to Eswatini, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Sociology. This section is written as a approximately 372 to 570 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Eswatini; note practical relevance. In the context of Eswatini, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Re-embedding embeddedness: what is the role of social enterprise in promoting democracy and protecting social rights?), Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union), Decolonising human rights: how intellectual property laws result in unequal access to the COVID-19 vaccine).

This section follows Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform examines Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform in relation to Eswatini, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Sociology. This section is written as a approximately 372 to 570 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Women's Suffrage Histories in Africa: Formal Rights and Substantive Exclusion: Accountability, Transparency, and Reform; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Eswatini; suggest a next step. In the context of Eswatini, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Histories of Colour: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union), Re-embedding embeddedness: what is the role of social enterprise in promoting democracy and protecting social rights?), Decolonising human rights: how intellectual property laws result in unequal access to the COVID-19 vaccine).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Höglund, L., Mårtensson, M., & Thomson, K. (2021). Strategic management, management control practices and public value creation: the strategic triangle in the Swedish public sector. *Accounting Auditing & Accountability Journal*
- Lynd, H., & Loyd, T. (2022). Histories of Color: Blackness and Africanness in the Soviet Union. *Slavic Review*
- Roy, M.J., Dey, P., & Teasdale, S. (2021). Re-embedding embeddedness: what is the role of social enterprise in promoting democracy and protecting social rights?. *Social enterprise journal*
- Sekalala, S., Forman, L., Hodgson, T.F., Mulumba, M., Namyalo-Ganafa, H., & Meier, B.M. (2021). Decolonising human rights: how intellectual property laws result in unequal access to the COVID-19 vaccine. *BMJ Global Health*