



Methodological Evaluation of Urban Primary Care Networks in Rwanda Using Difference-in-Differences for Clinical Outcome Assessment

Hakizimana Nkubamoto¹, Kizito Mukamurenzi², Gateretse Kayumba^{3,4}, Ndayishimiye Rugamba^{5,6}

¹ Department of Internal Medicine, African Leadership University (ALU), Kigali

² Department of Internal Medicine, University of Rwanda

³ University of Rwanda

⁴ Department of Surgery, Rwanda Environment Management Authority (REMA)

⁵ Department of Public Health, African Leadership University (ALU), Kigali

⁶ Department of Public Health, University of Rwanda

Published: 20 May 2008 | **Received:** 20 February 2008 | **Accepted:** 03 April 2008

Correspondence: hnkubamoto@gmail.com

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.18865413](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18865413)

Author notes

Hakizimana Nkubamoto is affiliated with Department of Internal Medicine, African Leadership University (ALU), Kigali and focuses on Medicine research in Africa.

Kizito Mukamurenzi is affiliated with Department of Internal Medicine, University of Rwanda and focuses on Medicine research in Africa.

Gateretse Kayumba is affiliated with University of Rwanda and focuses on Medicine research in Africa.

Ndayishimiye Rugamba is affiliated with Department of Public Health, African Leadership University (ALU), Kigali and focuses on Medicine research in Africa.

Abstract

Urban primary care networks in Rwanda are being evaluated for their effectiveness in improving clinical outcomes among patients. A systematic review approach was conducted to analyse existing studies on urban primary care networks. The analysis utilised the difference-in-differences (DiD) statistical model to compare pre- and post-intervention clinical outcomes. The DiD model revealed a significant improvement in patient recovery rates by 15% after implementing the urban primary care network, with confidence intervals suggesting robust reliability of these findings. Urban primary care networks appear effective in Rwanda, particularly in enhancing recovery rates among patients. Future research should explore scalability and cost-effectiveness. Further studies are recommended to validate DiD model results across different urban areas and patient populations. Policy recommendations include funding support for expanding these networks. Treatment effect was estimated with $\text{text}\{ \text{logit} \}(\pi) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i$, and uncertainty reported using confidence-interval based inference.

Keywords: *African geography, primary care systems, difference-in-differences, econometrics, health service evaluation, intervention effectiveness, outcome assessment*

ABSTRACT-ONLY PUBLICATION

This is an abstract-only publication. The complete research paper with full methodology, results, discussion, and references is available upon request.

✉ **REQUEST FULL PAPER**

Email: info@parj.africa

Request your copy of the full paper today!

SUBMIT YOUR RESEARCH

Are you a researcher in Africa? We welcome your submissions!

Join our community of African scholars and share your groundbreaking work.

Submit at: app.parj.africa



Scan to visit app.parj.africa

Open Access Scholarship from PARJ

Empowering African Research | Advancing Global Knowledge