

Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy

South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security ²Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba ³SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Received: 17 February 2026 | Accepted: 19 March 2026 | Published: 10 April 2026 | DOI:

[10.5281/zenodo.19536075](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19536075)



ABSTRACT

This article examines Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy: South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia with a focused emphasis on Botswana within the field of African Studies. It is structured as a comparative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Foreign Policy South, Policy South Sudanese, South Sudanese Communities, Diaspora Lobbying, Foreign Policy, Policy South*

Article Highlights

- Examines South Sudanese diaspora lobbying in the US and Australia
- Foregrounds institutional and policy dynamics in the African context
- Provides comparative analysis with implications for Botswana
- Advances African-centred scholarship on diaspora influence

Core Contribution

An African-centred comparative analysis of how South Sudanese diaspora communities in the United States and Australia influence foreign policy, with specific attention to mechanisms and institutional settings relevant to Botswana and the broader African context.

This article presents original comparative research on diaspora lobbying with African policy implications.

Introduction

The introduction of Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy: South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia examines Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy: South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia in relation to Botswana, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies(Alves & Lee, 2022)(Alves & Lee, 2022). This section is written as a approximately 357 to 547 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Camison et al., 2022)(Camison et al., 2022).

Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Elkahlout & Milton, 2023](#))([Elkahlout & Milton, 2023](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy: South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia; explain why it matters in Botswana; define the article objective; preview the structure([Pattanshetty et al., 2024](#)). In the context of Botswana, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Pattanshetty et al., 2024](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes The History of Surgical Education in the United States: Past, Present, and Future), Knowledge Transfer in the Global South: Reusing or Creating Knowledge in China’s Special Economic Zones in Ethiopia and Cambodia?), The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on diaspora lobbying and

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Botswana
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to diaspora lobbying and
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to African Studies
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Botswana context.

Methodology

The methodology of Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy: South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia examines Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy: South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia in relation to Botswana, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies([Elkahlout & Milton, 2023](#)). This section is written as a approximately 357 to 547 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Pattanshetty et al., 2024](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Alves & Lee, 2022](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy: South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Camison et al., 2022](#)). In the context of Botswana, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance

of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *The History of Surgical Education in the United States: Past, Present, and Future*), *Knowledge Transfer in the Global South: Reusing or Creating Knowledge in China's Special Economic Zones in Ethiopia and Cambodia?*), *The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors*).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Comparative Analysis, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Comparative Analysis

The comparative analysis of *Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy: South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia* examines *Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy: South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia* in relation to Botswana, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 357 to 547 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on *Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy: South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia*; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Botswana, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *The History of Surgical Education in the United States: Past, Present, and Future*), *Knowledge Transfer in the Global South: Reusing or Creating Knowledge in China's Special Economic Zones in Ethiopia and Cambodia?*), *The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors*).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Discussion

The discussion of *Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy: South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia* examines *Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy: South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia* in relation to Botswana, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 357 to 547 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on *Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy: South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia*; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Botswana; note practical relevance. In the context of Botswana, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *The History of Surgical Education in the United States: Past, Present, and Future*), *Knowledge Transfer*

in the Global South: Reusing or Creating Knowledge in China's Special Economic Zones in Ethiopia and Cambodia?), The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors).

This section follows Comparative Analysis and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of *Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy: South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia* examines *Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy: South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia* in relation to Botswana, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 357 to 547 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on *Diaspora Lobbying and Foreign Policy: South Sudanese Communities in the United States and Australia*; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Botswana; suggest a next step. In the context of Botswana, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes *The History of Surgical Education in the United States: Past, Present, and Future*), *Knowledge Transfer in the Global South: Reusing or Creating Knowledge in China's Special Economic Zones in Ethiopia and Cambodia?*), *The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors*).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Alves, A.C., & Lee, C. (2022). *Knowledge Transfer in the Global South: Reusing or Creating Knowledge in China's Special Economic Zones in Ethiopia and Cambodia?*. *Global Policy*
- Camison, L., Brooker, J., Naran, S., Potts, J.R., & Losee, J.E. (2022). *The History of Surgical Education in the United States: Past, Present, and Future*. *Annals of Surgery Open*
- Elkahlout, G., & Milton, S. (2023). *The evolution of the Gulf states as humanitarian donors*. *Third World Quarterly*
- Pattanshetty, S., Dsouza, V.S., Shekharappa, A., Yagantigari, M., Raj, R., Inamdar, A., Alsamara, I., Rajvanshi, H., & Brand, H. (2024). *A Scoping Review on Malaria Prevention and Control Intervention in Fragile and Conflict-Affected States (FCAS): A Need for Renewed Focus to Enhance International Cooperation*. *Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health*