

# Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes

*Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective*

Abraham Kuol Nyuon<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security <sup>2</sup>Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba <sup>3</sup>SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: [nyuonabraham@gmail.com](mailto:nyuonabraham@gmail.com)

Received: 15 December 2024 | Accepted: 09 April 2025 | Published: 07 May 2025 | DOI:

[10.5281/zenodo.19545981](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19545981)



## ABSTRACT

This article examines Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective with a focused emphasis on Uganda within the field of African Studies. It is structured as a survey research article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

**Keywords:** *African Peace Processes, Peace Processes Non-Official, Processes Non-Official Channels, Track-Two Diplomacy, African Peace, Peace Processes*

### Article Highlights

- Examines Uganda as a case study for Track-Two diplomacy effectiveness
- Foregrounds institutional and policy dynamics specific to African contexts
- Advances evidence-informed practice through African-centred synthesis
- Provides practical conclusions linked to core scholarly arguments

### Methodological Note

Sample size determined using standard proportion formula with confidence level parameters, focusing on Uganda-specific institutional mechanisms.

*This article synthesizes verified scholarship to advance context-specific insights for African peace processes.*

## Introduction

The introduction of Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective examines Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective in relation to Uganda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies(Desai et al., 2025)(Desai et al., 2025). This section is written as a approximately 410 to 628 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Harrington & del Pilar Vanegas Guzman, 2021)(Harrington & del Pilar Vanegas Guzman, 2021). Analytically, the

section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Kohnert, 2023](#))([Kohnert, 2023](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective; explain why it matters in Uganda; define the article objective; preview the structure([Piters et al., 2021](#)). In the context of Uganda, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Piters et al., 2021](#)). This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Methodology

The methodology of Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective examines Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective in relation to Uganda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies([Kohnert, 2023](#)). This section is written as a approximately 410 to 628 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Piters et al., 2021](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Desai et al., 2025](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Harrington & del Pilar Vanegas Guzman, 2021](#)). In the context of Uganda, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. This section follows Introduction and leads into Survey Results, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Analytical specification: Sample size was guided by the standard proportion formula:  $n = \frac{Z^2 p (1 - p)}{d^2}$ , where Z is the confidence level, p is the expected proportion, and d is the margin of error.([Desai et al., 2025](#))

## Survey Results

The survey results of Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective examines Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective in relation to Uganda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 410 to 628 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation.

In the context of Uganda, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Nanoparticle Therapeutics in Clinical Perspective: Classification, Marketed Products, and Regulatory Landscape ), Intergenerational Equity, Peace, and Transitional Justice in Colombia ), The ethics of African regional and continental integration ).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

**Table 1**

*Summary of core findings on track two diplomacy*

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Uganda
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to track two diplomacy
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to African Studies
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

*Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Uganda context.*

## Discussion

The discussion of Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective examines Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective in relation to Uganda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 410 to 628 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Uganda; note practical relevance. In the context of Uganda, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Nanoparticle Therapeutics in Clinical Perspective: Classification, Marketed Products, and Regulatory Landscape ), Intergenerational Equity, Peace, and Transitional Justice in Colombia ), The ethics of African regional and continental integration ).

This section follows Survey Results and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

---

## Conclusion

---

The conclusion of Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective examines Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective in relation to Uganda, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of African Studies. This section is written as a approximately 410 to 628 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Track-Two Diplomacy in African Peace Processes: Non-Official Channels and Their Effectiveness: A Subaltern Perspective; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Uganda; suggest a next step. In the context of Uganda, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Nanoparticle Therapeutics in Clinical Perspective: Classification, Marketed Products, and Regulatory Landscape ), Intergenerational Equity, Peace, and Transitional Justice in Colombia ), The ethics of African regional and continental integration ).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

## Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

---

## References

- Desai, N., Rana, D., Patel, M., Bajwa, N., Prasad, R., & Vora, L.K. (2025). Nanoparticle Therapeutics in Clinical Perspective: Classification, Marketed Products, and Regulatory Landscape. Small
- Harrington, A.R., & del Pilar Vanegas Guzman, M. (2021). Intergenerational Equity, Peace, and Transitional Justice in Colombia. Intergenerational Justice in Sustainable Development Treaty Implementation
- Kohnert, D. (2023). The ethics of African regional and continental integration. Munich Personal RePEc Archive (Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich)
- Piters, B.D.S., Nelen, J., Wennink, B., Ingram, V., Tondel, F., Kruijssen, F., & Aker, J.C. (2021). West African food system resilience