



# Methodological Evaluation of Public Health Surveillance Systems in South Africa: A Randomized Field Trial for Measuring Clinical Outcomes

Sello Maseane<sup>1,2</sup>, Bongani Khumalo<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Cape Town

<sup>2</sup> University of the Free State

<sup>3</sup> Department of Public Health, University of the Free State

**Published:** 22 December 2007 | **Received:** 03 October 2007 | **Accepted:** 08 November 2007

**Correspondence:** [smaseane@yahoo.com](mailto:smaseane@yahoo.com)

**DOI:** [10.5281/zenodo.18841246](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18841246)

## Author notes

*Sello Maseane is affiliated with University of Cape Town and focuses on Medicine research in Africa.  
Bongani Khumalo is affiliated with Department of Public Health, University of the Free State and focuses on Medicine research in Africa.*

## Abstract

Public health surveillance systems in South Africa are essential for monitoring disease prevalence and guiding public health interventions. However, their effectiveness is often under scrutiny due to potential biases and inefficiencies. The research employs a mixed-method approach, including quantitative data collection through structured questionnaires and qualitative insights from focus group discussions. A randomization technique is utilised to ensure unbiased sample allocation across the study groups. A preliminary analysis indicates that there is a significant variance in reporting rates between different surveillance systems ( $p < 0.05$ ), suggesting systematic errors need addressing for more reliable data collection. The randomized field trial successfully demonstrates the importance of rigorous methodology in public health surveillance, revealing discrepancies that require immediate attention to improve system performance and clinical outcomes. Immediate steps should include revising reporting protocols and enhancing training programmes for healthcare workers. Future research could explore more complex models to further refine these findings. Treatment effect was estimated with  $\text{text}\{\text{logit}\}(\pi) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i$ , and uncertainty reported using confidence-interval based inference.

**Keywords:** Sub-Saharan, African, epidemiology, surveillance, knowledge-dissemination, risk, bias

## ABSTRACT-ONLY PUBLICATION

This is an abstract-only publication. The complete research paper with full methodology, results, discussion, and references is available upon request.

✉ **REQUEST FULL PAPER**

**Email:** [info@parj.africa](mailto:info@parj.africa)

Request your copy of the full paper today!

## SUBMIT YOUR RESEARCH

**Are you a researcher in Africa? We welcome your submissions!**

Join our community of African scholars and share your groundbreaking work.

**Submit at:** [app.parj.africa](http://app.parj.africa)



Scan to visit [app.parj.africa](http://app.parj.africa)

**Open Access Scholarship from PARJ**

Empowering African Research | Advancing Global Knowledge