



Methodological Assessment of Quasi-Experimental Designs for Evaluating Community Health Centre Systems in Tanzania,

Mashika Ndayesha Chitu¹, Fidihatu Mwakanyamigezwe^{2,3}, Kabushinda Gita Maneneko⁴, Ngeta Makala Kibarani⁵

¹ Department of Surgery, Tanzania Wildlife Research Institute (TAWIRI)

² National Institute for Medical Research (NIMR)

³ Mkwawa University College of Education

⁴ Department of Public Health, Tanzania Wildlife Research Institute (TAWIRI)

⁵ Tanzania Wildlife Research Institute (TAWIRI)

Published: 24 July 2001 | **Received:** 11 April 2001 | **Accepted:** 28 June 2001

Correspondence: mchitu@gmail.com

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.18726605](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18726605)

Author notes

Mashika Ndayesha Chitu is affiliated with Department of Surgery, Tanzania Wildlife Research Institute (TAWIRI) and focuses on Medicine research in Africa.

Fidihatu Mwakanyamigezwe is affiliated with National Institute for Medical Research (NIMR) and focuses on Medicine research in Africa.

Kabushinda Gita Maneneko is affiliated with Department of Public Health, Tanzania Wildlife Research Institute (TAWIRI) and focuses on Medicine research in Africa.

Ngeta Makala Kibarani is affiliated with Tanzania Wildlife Research Institute (TAWIRI) and focuses on Medicine research in Africa.

Abstract

Quasi-experimental designs are widely used in health system evaluations to assess the impact of interventions without random assignment. The review synthesizes existing studies that employed various quasi-experimental designs such as difference-in-differences (DID) and interrupted time series analysis (ITSA), focusing on their methodological strengths and weaknesses. One study used an ITS model with robust standard errors, demonstrating a 15% reduction in healthcare costs over two years. Quasi-experimental designs provide valuable insights into cost-effectiveness but require careful design to minimise bias and improve internal validity. Future research should prioritise transparent reporting of study designs and methods, along with rigorous statistical analyses. Treatment effect was estimated with $\text{text}\{\text{logit}\}(\pi) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i$, and uncertainty reported using confidence-interval based inference.

Keywords: *Sub-Saharan, Randomization, Blinding, Regression Adjustment, Cost-Effectiveness Analysis, Hierarchical Linear Modelling, Attrition Analysis*

ABSTRACT-ONLY PUBLICATION

This is an abstract-only publication. The complete research paper with full methodology, results, discussion, and references is available upon request.

✉ **REQUEST FULL PAPER**

Email: info@parj.africa

Request your copy of the full paper today!

SUBMIT YOUR RESEARCH

Are you a researcher in Africa? We welcome your submissions!

Join our community of African scholars and share your groundbreaking work.

Submit at: app.parj.africa



Scan to visit app.parj.africa

Open Access Scholarship from PARJ

Empowering African Research | Advancing Global Knowledge