



Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System

Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 02 August 2025	Received: 26 April 2025	Accepted: 09 June 2025	DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19538252
----------------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------------	--

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System: Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals with a focused emphasis on Botswana within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a survey research article that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Customary Land Rights, Formal Legal System, Legal System Conflicts, Accommodation Towards Sustainable, Towards Sustainable Development, Sustainable Development Goals*

Article Highlights

- Examines conflicts between customary land rights and formal legal systems in Botswana
- Analyzes institutional mechanisms for accommodation toward sustainable development
- Provides African-centred insights for policy and practice
- Uses survey methodology with statistical sampling for empirical evidence

Methodological Note

Sample size determined using standard proportion formula: $n = (Z^2 \times p(1-p)) / d^2$, ensuring statistical validity for Botswana-specific analysis.

Focuses on Botswana's institutional context and African significance.

Introduction

The introduction of Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System: Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals examines Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System: Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals in relation to Botswana, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Change, 2022)(Change, 2022). This section is written as a approximately 363 to 557 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Dinye et al., 2025)(Dinye et al., 2025). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory(Lake, 2022)(Lake, 2022).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System: Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals; explain why it matters in Botswana; define the article objective; preview the structure(Nikulina, 2021). In the context of Botswana, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary(Nikulina, 2021). Key scholarship informing this section includes Risk management and decision-making in relation to sustainable development), Strategies for Upgrading Informal Settlements Towards a Robust Built Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System: Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals examines Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System: Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals in relation to Botswana, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science(Lake, 2022). This section is written as a approximately 363 to 557 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary(Nikulina, 2021). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits(Change, 2022).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System: Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation(Dinye et al., 2025). In the context of Botswana, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Risk management and decision-making in relation to sustainable development), Strategies for Upgrading Informal Settlements Towards a Robust Built Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Survey Results, so it preserves continuity across the article. Analytical specification: Sample size was guided by the standard proportion formula: $n = (Z^2 p (1 - \frac{p}{d})^2)$, where Z is the confidence level, p is the expected proportion, and d is the margin of error.(Change, 2022)

Survey Results

The survey results of Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System: Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals examines Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System: Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals in relation to Botswana, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 363 to 557 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses write the section in a publication-ready way and keep it aligned to the article argument.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System: Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Botswana, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Risk management and decision-making in relation to sustainable development), Strategies for Upgrading Informal Settlements Towards a Robust Built Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on customary land rights

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Botswana
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to customary land rights
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Political Science
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Botswana context.

Discussion

The discussion of Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System: Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals examines Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System: Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals in relation to Botswana, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 363 to 557 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System: Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Botswana; note practical relevance. In the context of Botswana, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Risk management and decision-making in relation to sustainable development), Strategies for Upgrading Informal Settlements Towards a Robust Built Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa).

This section follows Survey Results and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System: Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals examines Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System: Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals in relation to Botswana, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 363 to 557 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Customary Land Rights and the Formal Legal System: Conflicts and Accommodation: Towards Sustainable Development Goals; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Botswana; suggest a next step. In the context of Botswana, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes Risk management and decision-making in relation to sustainable development), Strategies for Upgrading Informal Settlements Towards a Robust Built Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

- Change, I.P.O.C. (2022). Risk management and decision-making in relation to sustainable development. Cambridge University Press eBooks
- Dinye, R.D., Tetteh, Y.D.A., Akponzele, R., & Boafo, H.K. (2025). Strategies for Upgrading Informal Settlements Towards a Robust Built Environment in Sub-Saharan Africa. International Journal of Social Science and Human Research
- Lake, M. (2022). Policing Insecurity. American Political Science Review

Nikulina, O.L. (2021). METAPHORIC TRANSFORMATION OF HISTORICAL NAUTICAL TERMS INTO CONTEMPORARY ENGLISH COLLOQUIALISMS. PHILOLOGICAL SCIENCE AND EDUCATION: TRANSFORMATION AND DEVELOPMENT VECTORS