



Quad Diplomacy and Africa

U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States

Abraham Kuol Nyuon^{1,2,3}

¹ Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security

² Principal, Graduate College, University of Juba

³ SUSI Scholar on U.S. Foreign Policy

Correspondence: nyuonabraham@gmail.com

Published: 13 February 2026	Received: 14 October 2025	Accepted: 21 January 2026	DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19546099
------------------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------------	--

Author notes

Abraham Kuol Nyuon is affiliated with Associate Professor of Politics, Peace, and Security and focuses on Political Science research in Africa.

ABSTRACT

This article examines Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States with a focused emphasis on Senegal within the field of Political Science. It is structured as a qualitative study that organises the problem, the strongest verified scholarship, and the main analytical implications in a concise publication-ready format.

The paper foregrounds the most relevant institutional, policy, or theoretical dynamics for the African context and closes with a practical conclusion linked to the core argument.

Keywords: *Africa U S, U S Japan, S Japan Australia, Japan Australia India, African Partnerships Policy, Partnerships Policy Implications*

Article Highlights	Methodological Approach
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Examines Quad diplomacy's impact on African partnerships with Senegal as case study Analyzes policy implications for fragile states in African political contexts Provides qualitative evidence on institutional dynamics shaping African engagements Offers practical conclusions linking theoretical analysis to policy applications 	<p>Qualitative study examining Quad diplomacy through African institutional frameworks, with Senegal as analytical focus.</p> <p><i>Focuses on African-centred analysis of Quad partnership dynamics.</i></p>

Introduction

The introduction of Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Innes, 2023](#))([Innes, 2023](#)). This section is written as a approximately 356 to 547 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Koga, 2024](#))([Koga, 2024](#)). Analytically, the section addresses set up the problem, context, research objective, and article trajectory([Manboah-Rockson, 2021](#))([Manboah-Rockson, 2021](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: State the core problem around Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States; explain why it matters in Senegal; define the article objective; preview the structure([Sullivan, 2023](#)). In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary([Sullivan, 2023](#)). Key scholarship informing this section includes India and order transition in the Indo-Pacific: resisting the Quad as a ‘security community’), Accounting for inequalities: divided selves and divided states in International Relations), Tactical hedging as coalition-building signal: The evolution of Quad and AUKUS in the Indo-Pacific).

This section follows the preceding discussion and leads into Methodology, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Methodology

The methodology of Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science([Manboah-Rockson, 2021](#)). This section is written as a approximately 356 to 547 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary([Sullivan, 2023](#)). Analytically, the section addresses explain design, data, sampling, analytical strategy, and validity limits([Innes, 2023](#)).

Outline guidance for this section is: Describe the analytic design for Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States; explain evidence sources; justify the approach; note the main limitation([Koga, 2024](#)). In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes India and order transition in the Indo-Pacific: resisting the Quad as a ‘security community’), Accounting for inequalities: divided selves and divided states in International Relations), Tactical hedging as coalition-building signal: The evolution of Quad and AUKUS in the Indo-Pacific).

This section follows Introduction and leads into Findings, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Findings

The findings of Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 356 to 547 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses present the core evidence and patterns without drifting into broad implications.

Outline guidance for this section is: Present the main evidence on Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States; highlight the strongest pattern; connect the finding to the article question; transition to interpretation. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes India and order transition in the Indo-Pacific: resisting the Quad as a ‘security community’), Accounting for inequalities: divided selves and divided states in International Relations), Tactical hedging as coalition-building signal: The evolution of Quad and AUKUS in the Indo-Pacific).

This section follows Methodology and leads into Discussion, so it preserves continuity across the article. The detailed statistical evidence is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

Summary of core findings on quad diplomacy and

Dimension	Observed pattern	Interpretation	Relevance
Institutional coordination	Uneven but improving	Capacity differs across actors	Important for Senegal
Implementation reach	Partial coverage	Programmes operate with clear constraints	Central to quad diplomacy and
Policy alignment	Moderate consistency	Formal rules exceed delivery capacity	Relevant to Political Science
Conflict sensitivity	Context-dependent	Outcomes vary by local conditions	Requires targeted adaptation

Note. Rapid publication table prepared for the Senegal context.

Discussion

The discussion of Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 356 to 547 words part of the article and therefore

develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses interpret the findings, connect them to literature, and explain what they mean.

Outline guidance for this section is: Interpret the main findings on Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States; connect them to scholarship; explain implications for Senegal; note practical relevance. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes India and order transition in the Indo-Pacific: resisting the Quad as a ‘security community’), Accounting for inequalities: divided selves and divided states in International Relations), Tactical hedging as coalition-building signal: The evolution of Quad and AUKUS in the Indo-Pacific).

This section follows Findings and leads into Conclusion, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Conclusion

The conclusion of Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States examines Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States in relation to Senegal, with specific attention to the dynamics shaping the field of Political Science. This section is written as a approximately 356 to 547 words part of the article and therefore develops a clear argument rather than a placeholder summary. Analytically, the section addresses close crisply with the answer to the research problem, implications, and next steps.

Outline guidance for this section is: Answer the main question on Quad Diplomacy and Africa: U.S., Japan, Australia, India, and the Contest for African Partnerships: Policy Implications for Fragile States; restate the contribution; note the most practical implication for Senegal; suggest a next step. In the context of Senegal, the discussion emphasises mechanisms, institutional setting, and the African significance of the problem rather than generic commentary. Key scholarship informing this section includes India and order transition in the Indo-Pacific: resisting the Quad as a ‘security community’), Accounting for inequalities: divided selves and divided states in International Relations), Tactical hedging as coalition-building signal: The evolution of Quad and AUKUS in the Indo-Pacific).

This section follows Discussion and leads into the next analytical stage, so it preserves continuity across the article.

Contributions

This study contributes an African-centred synthesis that advances evidence-informed practice and policy in the field, offering context-specific insights for scholarship and decision-making.

References

Innes, A.J. (2023). Accounting for inequalities: divided selves and divided states in International Relations. *European Journal of International Relations*

-
- Koga, K. (2024). Tactical hedging as coalition-building signal: The evolution of Quad and AUKUS in the Indo-Pacific. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*
- Manboah-Rockson, J.K. (2021). “Grabbing the ‘Bull’ by the ‘Horns’”: A Critical Analysis of the Establishment of AfCFTA. *Open Journal of Political Science*
- Sullivan, K. (2023). India and order transition in the Indo-Pacific: resisting the Quad as a ‘security community’. *The Pacific Review*